arXiv:1404.6985v1 [math.AG] 28 Apr 2014

ON THE STRUCTURE OF CODIMENSION 1 FOLIATIONS WITH PSEUDOEFFECTIVE CONORMAL BUNDLE.

FRÉDÉRIC TOUZET[1]

ABSTRACT. Let X a projective manifold equipped with a codimension 1 (maybe singular) distribution whose conormal sheaf is assumed to be pseudoeffective. By a theorem of Jean-Pierre Demailly, this distribution is actually integrable and thus defines a codimension 1 holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$. We aim at describing the structure of such a foliation, especially in the non abundant case: It turns out that $\mathcal{F}$ is the pull-back of one of the "canonical foliations" on a Hilbert modular variety. This result remains valid for "logarithmic foliated pairs".

Keywords: Holomorphic foliations, pseudoeffective line bundle, abundance.

Mathematical Subject Classification:37F75

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Positive currents invariant by a foliation 6
3. Foliations with pseudoeffective conormal bundle 8
4. Uniformization and dynamics 14
5. Kodaira dimension of the conormal bundle 17
6. The non abundant case 19
7. The logarithmic setting 23
8. Pseudoeffective logarithmic conormal bundle and invariant metrics 30
9. Settling the case of KLT foliations 37
10. Dealing with strict Log-Canonical foliations 41
References 49

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are dealing with special class of codimension 1 holomorphic foliations. To this goal, let us introduce some basic notations.

Our main object of interest consists of a pair $(X, \mathcal{D})$ where X stands for a connected complex manifold (which will be fairly quickly assumed to be Kähler compact) equipped with a codimension 1 holomorphic (maybe singular) distribution $\mathcal{D} = \text{Ann } \omega \subset TX$ given as the annihilator subsheaf of a twisted holomorphic non trivial one form

[1] IRMAR, Campus de Beaulieu 35042 Rennes Cedex, France, frederic.touzet@univ-rennes1.fr.

$$\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X \otimes L).$$

Without any loss of generalities, one can assume that ω is surjective in codimension 1, in other words that the vanishing locus Sing ω has codimension at least 2.

The line bundle L is usually called the **normal bundle** of $\mathcal{D}$ and denoted by $N_{\mathcal{D}}$. Here, we will be particularly interested in studying its dual $N^*_{\mathcal{D}}$, the **conormal bundle** of $\mathcal{D}$ in the case this latter carries some "positivity" properties.

We will also use the letter $\mathcal{F}$ (as foliation) instead of $\mathcal{D}$ whenever integrability holds, i.e: $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}] \subset \mathcal{D}$.

Assuming now that X is **compact Kähler**, let us review some well known situations where integrability automatically holds.

$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{F}$ as soon as:

(1) $N^*_{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{O}(D)$ where D is an integral effective divisor. This is related to the fact that $d\omega = 0$ (regarding ω as an holomorphic one form with D as zeroes divisor).
(2) Kod $(N^*_{\mathcal{D}}) \geq 0$ where Kod means the Kodaira dimension. Actually, in this situation, one can get back to the previous one passing to a suitable branched cover.

In this setting, it is worth recalling the classical result of **Bogomolov Castelnuovo De Franchis** wich asserts that one has always Kod $(N^*_{\mathcal{D}}) \leq 1$ and when equality holds, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{F}$ is an holomorphic fibration over a curve.

In fact, integability still holds under weaker positivity assumptions on $N^*_{\mathcal{D}}$, namely if this latter is only supposed to be **pseudoeffective** (*psef*). This is a result obtained by Demailly in [13].

For the sequel, it will be useful to give further details and comments on this result.

First, the pseudoeffectiveness property can be translated into the existence of a singular metric h on $N^*_{\mathcal{D}}$ with plurisubharmonic (*psh*) local weights. This means that h can be locally expressed as

$$h(x, v) = |v|^2 e^{-2\varphi(x)}$$

where φ is a *psh* function.

The curvature form $T = \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi$ is then well defined as a closed positive current representing the real Chern class $c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{D}})$ and conversely any closed $(1,1)$ positive current T such that $\{T\} = c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{D}})$ (here, braces stand for "cohomology class") can be seen as the curvature current of such a metric.

What actually Demailly shows is the following vanishing property:

$$\nabla_{h^*}\omega = 0.$$

More explicilely, ω is closed with respect to the Chern connection attached to $N_{\mathcal{D}}$ endowed with the dual metric h^*.

This somehow generalizes the well known fact that every holomorphic form on compact Kähler manifold is closed.

Locally speaking, this equality can be restated as

$$2\partial\varphi \wedge \omega = -d\omega. \tag{1}$$

if one looks at ω as a suitable local defining one form of the distribution.

Equality (1) provides three useful informations:

The first one is the sought integrability: $\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$, hence $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{F}$.

One then obtains taking the $\overline{\partial}$ operator, that T is **$\mathcal{F}$-invariant** (or invariant by holonomy of the foliation), in other words, $T \wedge \omega = 0$.

One can also derive from (1) the closedness of η_T, where η_T is a globally defined positive $(1,1)$ form which can be locally expressed as

$$\eta_T = \frac{i}{\pi} e^{2\varphi} \omega \wedge \overline{\omega}.$$

In some sense, η_T defines the dual metric h^* on $N_{\mathcal{D}}$ whose curvature form is $-T$ and is canonically associated to T up to a positive multiplicative constant.

Note that, as well as T, the form η_T has no reasons to be smooth. Nevertheless, it is well defined as a current and taking its differential makes sense in this framework.

By closedness, one can also notice that η_T is indeed a **closed positive $\mathcal{F}$ invariant current**.

Now, the existence of two such invariant currents, especially η_T which has locally bounded coefficients, may suggest that this foliation has a nice behaviour, in particular from the dynamical viewpoint, but also, as we will see later, from the algebraic one. Then it seems reasonnable to describe them in more details.

As an illustration, let us give the following (quite classical) example wich also might be enlightening in the sequel.

Let $S = \frac{\mathbb{D}\times\mathbb{D}}{\Gamma}$ a surface of general type uniformized by the bidisk. Here, $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{D}^2$ is a cocompact irreducible and torsion free lattice wich lies in the identity component of the automorphism group of the bidisk.

The surface S is equipped with two "tautologically" defined minimal foliations by curves $\mathcal{F}_h$ and $\mathcal{F}_v$ obtained respectively by projecting the horizontal and vertical foliations of the bidisk (recall that "minimal" means that every leaf is dense and here, this is due to the lattice irreducibility).

Both of these foliations have a *psef* and more precisely a semipositive conormal bundle. For instance, if one considers $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_h$, a natural candidate for η_T and T is provided by the transverse Poincaré's metric $\eta_{\text{Poincaré}}$, that is the metric induced by projecting the standard Poincaré's metric of the second factor of $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$.

In this example, it is staightforward to check that $T = \eta_T$ is $\mathcal{F}$ invariant. We have also $T \wedge T = 0$. This implies that the numerical dimension $\nu(N^*_{\mathcal{D}})$ is equal to one. By minimality, and thanks to Bogomolov's theorem this equality certainly does not hold for Kod $N^*_{\mathcal{D}}$. In fact, this is not difficult to check that this latter is negative.

Maybe this case is the most basic one where abundance does not hold for the conormal. One can then naturally ask whether this foliation is in some way involved as soon as the abundance principle fails to be true. It turns out that (at least when the ambient manifold X is projective) the answer is yes, as precised by the following statement:

Theorem 1. *Let $(X, \mathcal{F})$ be a foliated projective manifold by a codimension 1 holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Assume moreover that $N^*_{\mathcal{F}}$ is pseudoeffective and Kod $N^*_{\mathcal{F}} =$*

$-\infty$, then one can conclude that $\mathcal{F} = \Psi^*\mathcal{G}$ where Ψ is a morphism of analytic varieties between X and the quotient $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{D}^n/\Gamma$ of a polydisk, ($n \geq 2$), by an irreducible lattice $\Gamma \subset (Aut\ \mathbb{D})^n$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is one of the n tautological foliations on $\mathfrak{H}$.

One can extend this result for "logaritmic foliated pairs" in the following sense:

Theorem 2. *The same conclusion holds replacing the previous assumptions on $N^*_{\mathcal{F}}$ by the same assumptions on the logarithmic conormal bundle $N^*_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)$ where $H = \sum_i H_i$ is reduced and normal crossing divisor, each component of which being invariant by the foliation and replacing $\mathfrak{H}$ by its Baily-Borel compactification $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}^{BB}$.*

Remark 1.1. In the logaritmic case, compactification is needed because, unlike to the non logarithmic setting, there may exist among the components of H some special ones arising from the possible existence of cusps in $\mathfrak{H}$.

Let us give the outline of the proof. For the sake of simplicity, we will take only in consideration the non logarithmic situation corresponding to theorem 1. The logarithmic case follows *mutatis mutandis* the same lines althought we have to overcome quite serious additional difficulties.

The first idea consists in performing and analysing the divisorial Zariski decomposition of the pseudoeffective class $c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}})$ using that we have at our disposal the two positive closed invariant currents T, η_T and their induced cohomology class $\{T\}$ and $\{\eta_T\}$.

Actually, the existence of such currents yields strong restriction on the Zariski decomposition. Without entering into details, this basically implies that this latter shares the same nice properties than the classical two dimensional Zariski decomposition.

Once we have obtained the structure of this decomposition, one can solve by a fix point method (namely the Shauder-Tychonoff theorem applied in a suitable space of currents) the equation

$$T = \eta_T + [N] \tag{2}$$

where $[N]$ is some "residual" integration current. More precisely, among the closed positive currents representing $c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}})$, there exists one (uniquely defined) wich satisfies the equality (2) (with η_T suitably normalized).

This equality needs some explanations. Indeed, it really holds when the positive part in the Zariski decomposition is non trivial, that is when $N^*_{\mathcal{F}}$ has positive numerical dimension. From now on, we focus only on this case, regardless for the moment of what occurs for vanishing Kodaira dimension.

We also have to precise what is N: it is just the negative part in the Zariski decomposition and it turns out that N is a $\mathbb{Q}$ effective $\mathcal{F}$ invariant divisor.

Then, outside Supp N, near a point where the foliation is assumed to be regular and defined by $dz = 0$, (2) can be locally expressed as

$$\Delta_z \varphi(z) = e^{2\varphi(z)}$$

where φ is a suitably chosen local potential of T depending only of the transverse variable z and Δ_z is the Laplacean with respect to the single variable z. This is the equation giving metric of constant negative curvature, wich means that $\mathcal{F}$ falls into the realm of the so called "transversely projective" foliations and more accurately

the transversely hyperbolic ones. Equivalently, those can be defined by a collection of holomorphic local first integral valued in the disk such the glueing transformation maps between these first integrals are given by disk automorphisms. In that way, it gives rise to a representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(X \setminus \text{Supp } N) \to \text{Aut } \mathbb{D}.$$

Remark 1.2. We need to remove Supp N because the tranversely hyperbolic structure may degenerate on this hypersurface (indeed, on a very mildly way).

Let G be the image of the representation: it is the monodromy group of the foliation and faithfully encodes the dynamical behaviour of $\mathcal{F}$. More precisely, one can show that there are two cases to take into consideration:

Either G is a cocompact lattice and in that case, $\mathcal{F}$ is an holomorphic fibration, Kod $N^*_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$ and coincide with the numerical dimension.

Either G is dense (w.r.t the ordinary topology) in Aut $\mathbb{D}$ and the foliation is quasiminimal (i.e: all leaves but finitely many are dense). Moreover, Kod $N^*_{\mathcal{F}} = -\infty$. Of course, we are particularly interested in this last situation.

To reach the conclusion, the remaining arguments heavily rely on a nice result of Kevin Corlette and Carlos Simpson (see [9]) concerning rank two local systems on quasiprojective manifolds, especially those with Zariski dense monodromy in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Roughly speaking, their theorem gives the following alternative:

(1) Either such a local system comes from a local system on a Deligne-Mumford curve $\mathcal{C}$.
(2) Either it comes from one of the taulogical rank two local systems on a what the authors call a "polydisk Shimura DM stack" $\mathfrak{H}$ (this latter can be thought as some kind of moduli algebraic space uniformized by the polydisk, in the same vein than Hilbert Modular varieties).

Remark 1.3. In the setting of transversely hyperbolic foliations, as we do not really deal with rank 2 local but rather with projectivization of these, one can get rid of the DM stack formalism, only considering the target spaces $\mathcal{C}$ or $\mathfrak{H}$ with their "ordinary"orbifold strucure (wich consists of finitely many points). Moreover, in the full statement of Corlette-Simpson, one needs to take into account some quasiunipotency assumptions on the monodromy at infinity. Actually, these assumptions are fulfilled in our situation and are related to the rationality of the divisorial coefficients in the negative part N.

This paper is a continuation of the previous work [29] where one part of the strategy outlined above has been already achieved (namely the construction of special metrics transverse to the foliation).

Note also that the desription of transversely projective foliations on projective manifolds was recently completed in [18]. However, there are several significant differences between our paper and *loc.cit* (and in fact, the techniques involved in both papers are not the same):

- In our setting, the transverse projective structure is not given a priori,
- [18] also deals with irregular transversely projective foliations (ours turn out to be regular singular ones).
- When the monodromy associated to the transverse projective structure is Zariski dense in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, the factorization theorem D, item (2) and (3)

of [18] can be made more precise for the class of foliations considered here. Namely, pull-back of a Riccati foliation over a curve (item (2)) is replaced by pull-back of a foliation by points on a curve, that is $\mathcal{F}$ is a fibration, and analogously, item (3) can be reformulated changing "pull-back between representations" by "pull-back between foliations", as precised by theorems 1 and 2 above.

Acknowledgements: It's a pleasure to thank Dominique Cerveau, Frank Loray and Jorge Vitório Pereira for valuable and helpful discussions.

2. Positive currents invariant by a foliation

In this short section, we review some definitions/properties (especially intersection ones) of positive current which are invariant by holonomy of a foliation. They will be used later.

2.1. **Some basic definitions.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ a codimension 1 holomorphic foliation on a complex manifold X defined by $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X \otimes L)$.

Definition 2.1. (see also [4], [29]). Let T a positive current of bidegree $(1,1)$ defined on X. T is said to be **invariant by** $\mathcal{F}$, or $\mathcal{F}$-invariant, or invariant by holonomy of $\mathcal{F}$ whenever

(1) T is closed
(2) T is directed by the foliation, that is $T \wedge \omega = 0$.

Notice that when T is a non vanishing smooth semi-positive $(1,1)$ form, we fall into the realm of the so-called **transversely hermitian foliations**.

Definition 2.2. (see[29]). Let $x \in X$ a singular point of $\mathcal{F}$. We say that x is an elementary singularity if there exists near x a non constant first integral of $\mathcal{F}$ of the form

$$f = f_1^{\lambda_1} ... f_p^{\lambda_p}$$

where $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and λ_i is a positive real number .
Equivalently, $\frac{df}{f}$ is a local defining logarithmic form of $\mathcal{F}$ with positive residues.

Definition 2.3. Let T a closed positive $(1,1)$ current on X. Recall that T is **residual** is the Lelong number $\lambda_H(T)$ of T along any hypersurface H is zero.

Let X be compact Kähler, and T a $(1,1)$ positive current on X and $\{T\} \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ its cohomology class . By definition, this latter is a *pseudoeffective* class and admits, as such, a **divisorial Zariski decomposition** (a fundamental result due to [2], [22], among others):

$$\{T\} = N + Z$$

where N is the "negative part", an $\mathbb{R}$ effective divisor, whose support is a finite union of prime divisor $N_1, ..N_p$ such that the family $\{N_1, .., N_p\}$ is exceptional (in the sense of [2]) and Z, the "positive part" is *nef* in codimension 1 (or modified *nef*). This implies in particular that the restriction of Z to any hypersurface is still a pseudoeffective class.

(For a precise definition and basic properties of Zariski decomposition useful for our purpose, see [29] and references therein).

2.2. **Intersection of $\mathcal{F}$-invariant positive currents.** Let X is a Kähler manifold equipped with a codimension 1 holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ wich carries a $(1,1)$ closed positive T current invariant by holonomy.

Proposition 2.4. *Assume that near every point, T admits a local potential locally constant on the leaves. Let S be an other closed positive current invariant by $\mathcal{F}$, then $\{S\}\{T\} = 0$*

Proof. Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ an open cover of X such that $T = \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi_i$, φ_i *psh* locally constant on the leaves.. On intersections, one has $\partial\varphi_i - \partial\varphi_j = \omega_{ij}$ where ω_{ij} is a holomorphic one form defined on $U_i \cap U_j$ vanishing on the leaves (i.e a local section of the conormal sheaf $N^*_{\mathcal{F}}$ considered as an invertible subsheaf of Ω^1_X). Let η a $(1,1)$ closed smooth form such that $\{\eta\} = \{T\}$. One can then write on each U_i (up refining the cover) $\eta = \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}u_i$, $u_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_i)$, such that $\partial u_i - \partial u_j = \omega_{ij}$. As a consequence, the collection of $\frac{i}{\pi}\partial u_i \wedge S$, with S any closed invariant current, gives rise to a globally well defined $(1,2)$ current whose differential is $\eta \wedge S$. This proves the result. □

Remark 2.5. One can slightly improve this statement replacing X by $X \setminus S$ where S is an analytic subset of codimension ≥ 3 (it will be useful in the sequel).

To this goal, we use that $H^1(U_i \setminus S, N^*_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$ (for a suitable cover). This means that one can find on each U_i an holomorphic one form Ω_i such that $\partial\varphi_i - \partial\varphi_j = \omega_{ij} + \Omega_i - \Omega_j$. Indeed, take an open cover (V_k) of $U_i \setminus S$ such that $\partial\varphi_i = \partial\varphi_k + \xi_k$ where φ_k is *psh* and ξ_k an holomorphic one form both defined on V_k. By vanishing of the cohomology, $\xi_k - \xi_l = \omega_k - \omega_l$ where ω_k is an holomorphic form vanishing on the leaves . Then the $\xi_k - \omega_k$'s glue together on $U_i \setminus S$ into a holomorphic one form whose extension through S is precisely Ω_i. Taking η and S as previously, one obtains that the current defined locally by $(\partial u_i - \Omega_i) \wedge S$ is globally defined. Then, the same conclusion follows taking the $\overline{\partial}$ differential instead of the differential and applying the $\partial\overline{\partial}$ lemma. □

Proposition 2.6. *Assume that the singular points of $\mathcal{F}$ are all of elementary type (as defined above).Let S, T be $\mathcal{F}$-invariant positive currents. Assume moreover that S is residual (i.e, without any Lelong's numbers in codimension 1), then the local potentials of S can be chosen to be constant on the leaves and in particular*

(1) $\{S\}\{T\} = 0$
(2) $\{S\}$ and $\{T\}$ are colinear assuming in addition that T is also residual.

Proof. By proposition 2.4, it suffices to show that one can choose the local potentials of T to be locally constant on the leaves to prove the first item.

Let $x \in \text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$ and F be an elementary first integral of X near x. One can assume that

$$F = \prod_{i=1}^{p} {f_i}^{\lambda_i}$$

with $\lambda_1 = 1$. Following the main result of ([23]), the (multivaluate) function F has connected fibers on $U \setminus Z(F)$ where U is a suitable arbitrarily small open neighborhood of x and $Z(F) = \{\prod f_i = 0\}$. Let $\mathcal{T} \subset U$ an holomorphic curve transverse to $\mathcal{F}$ with cuts out the branch $f_1 = 0$ in x_1, a regular point of $\mathcal{F}$ and such that $f_{1|\mathcal{T}}$ sends biholomorphically $\mathcal{T}$ onto a disk $\mathbb{D}_r = \{|z| < r\}$. Let G be

the closure in $S^1 = \{z = 1\}$ of the group generated by $\{e^{2i\pi\lambda_k}\},\ k = 1, ..., p$; thus, either G is the whole S^1 or is finite.

Up shrinking U, one can assume that, on U, $T = \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi$ where φ is *psh*. Let $\varphi_1 = \varphi_{|\mathcal{T}}$ and $T_1 = \frac{i}{\pi}\,\partial\overline{\partial}\,\varphi_1$.

Set

$$H = \{h \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{T}) | h(z) = gz, g \in G\}.$$

(here, and in the sequel we identify $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathbb{D}_r$). The fibers connectedness property remains clearly valid if one replaces U by the saturation of $\mathcal{T}$ by $\mathcal{F}$ in U (still called U).

Thus T_1 is H-invariant, that is $h^*T_1 = T_1$ for very $h \in H$. One also obtain a subharmonic function ψ_1 H-invariant when averaging φ_1 with respect to the Haar measure dg on the compact group G ([25], th 2.4.8):

$$\psi_1(z) = \int_G \varphi_1(gz)dg$$

Note that $\frac{i}{\pi}\,\partial\overline{\partial}\,\psi_1 = T_1$; then, by H invariance, ψ_1 uniquely extends on U as a *psh* function ψ locally constant on the leaves and such that

$$T = \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\psi$$

Indeed, this equality obviously holds on $U \setminus Z(F)$ (where the foliation is regular) and then on U as currents on each side do not give mass to $Z(F)$. This proves the first part of the proposition.

The assertion (2) is a straightforward consequence of the Hodge's signature theorem if one keeps in mind that $\{S\}^2 = \{T\}^2 = \{S\}\{T\} = 0$.

□

Remark 2.7. Actually, the same conclusion (and proof) holds under the weaker assumption that every singular point of $\mathcal{F}$ contained in $\mathrm{Supp}\ S \cap \mathrm{Supp}\ T$ is of elementary type, instead of requiring all the singular points to be elementary.

3. Foliations with pseudoeffective conormal bundle

Throughout this section, X is a compact Kähler manifold carrying a codimension 1 (maybe singular) holomorphic foliation whose conormal bundle $N^*_{\mathcal{F}}$ is pseudoeffective (*psef* for short). The study of such objects has been undertaken in [29] and in this section, we would like to complete the results already obtained.

3.1. Some former results.

Before restating the main theorem of [29] and other useful consequences, let us recall what are the basic objects involved (see [29], INTRODUCTION for the details).

Let $T = \frac{i}{\pi}\,\partial\overline{\partial}\,\varphi$ be a positive $(1,1)$ current representing $c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}})$. One inherits from T a canonically defined (up to a multiplicative constant) $(1,1)$ form η_T with L^∞_{loc} coefficients wich can be locally written as

$$\eta_T = \frac{i}{\pi}e^{2\varphi}\omega \wedge \overline{\omega}$$

where ω is an holomorphic one form wich defines $\mathcal{F}$ locally. It turns out that

$$d\eta_T = 0$$

in the sense of currents (lemme 1.6 in [29]). We can then deduce that T and η_T are both **positive $\mathcal{F}$-invariant currents**, in particular the hypersurface Supp N (or equivalently the integration current $[N]$) is invariant by $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, using that η_T represents a nef class, one can show that the positive part Z is a non negative multiple of $\{\eta_T\}$ (Proposition 2.14 of [29]). Then, in case that $Z \neq 0$, one can normalize η_T such that $\{\eta_T\} = Z$.

Here is the main result of [29] (Théorème 1 p.368)

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\{N\} + Z$ be the Zariski decomposition of the pseudoeffective class $c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}})$, then there exists a unique $\mathcal{F}$-invariant positive $(1,1)$ current T with minimal singularities (in the sense of* [2]*) such that*

(1) $\{T\} = c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}})$
(2) $T = [N]$ *if* $Z = 0$ **(euclidean type).**
(3) $T = [N] + \eta_T$ **if** $Z \neq 0$ **(hyperbolic type)**

Actually, the existence of such a current provides a transverse invariant metric for $\mathcal{F}$ (with mild degeneracies on Supp $N \cup$ Sing $\mathcal{F}$) , namely η_T, whose curvature current is $-T$. This justify the words "euclidean" and "hyperbolic".

To each of these transversely invariant hermitian structure, one can associate the **sheaf of distinguished first integrals** $\mathcal{I}^\varepsilon$ $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ depending on whether this structure is euclidean or hyperbolic.

It will be also useful to consider the sheaf $\mathcal{I}^\varepsilon_{d\log}$ derived from $\mathcal{I}^\varepsilon$ by taking logarithmic differentials.

These two locally constant sheaves have been introduced in [29] (Définition 5.2) respectively as "faisceau des intégrales premières admissibles" and "faisceau des dérivées logarithmiques admissibles". Then, we will not enter into further details. Strictly speaking, they are only defined on $X \setminus$ Supp N .

As a transversely homogeneous foliation, $\mathcal{F}$ admits a developing map ρ defined on any covering $\pi : X_0 \to X \setminus$ Supp N where $\pi^*\mathcal{I}^\varepsilon$ becomes a constant sheaf ([29], section 6, and references therein).

Recall that ρ is just a section of $\pi^*\mathcal{I}^\varepsilon$ (and in particular a holomorphic first integral of the pull-back foliation $\pi^*\mathcal{F}$) . One also recovers a representation

$$r : \pi_1(X \setminus \text{Supp } N) \to \Im^\varepsilon$$

uniquely defined up to conjugation associated to the locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{I}^\varepsilon$ and taking values in the isometry group $\Im^\varepsilon$ of U^ε, $U^0 = \mathbb{C}$, $U^1 = \mathbb{D}$.
One can now restate theorem 3 p.385 of [29].

Theorem 3.2. *The developing map is complete. That is, ρ is surjective onto $\mathbb{C}$ (euclidean case) or $\mathbb{D}$ (hyperbolic case).*

One can naturally ask if the fibers or ρ are connected, a question raised in [29]. A positive answer would give useful information on the dynamic of $\mathcal{F}$. This problem is settled in the next section.

3.2. **Connectedness of the fibers.** Let $\pi : X_0 \to X \setminus$ Supp N a covering such that the developing map ρ is defined on X_0.

Let K be an invariant hypersurface of $\mathcal{F}$: In sufficiently small neighborhood of $K \cup$ Sing $\mathcal{F}$, we get a well defined logarithmic 1 form η_K defining the foliation and which is a (semi) local section of $\mathcal{I}^\varepsilon_{d\log}$ and such that $K \cup$ Sing $\mathcal{F}$ is contained in the polar locus of η_K (Proposition 5.1 of [29]). The main properties of η_K are listed

below and are directly borrowed from *loc.cit*. They will be used repeatedly and implicitely in the sequel.

- The residues of η_K are non negative real numbers. To be more precise, the gerrm of η_K at $x \in K \cup \operatorname{Sing} F$ can be written as

$$\eta_K = \sum_{i=1}^{n_x} \lambda_i \frac{df_i}{f_i}.$$

  where the $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are pairwise irreducible and the λ_i's are ≥ 1.

  In particular, the multivaluate section $e^{\eta_K} = \prod {f_i}^{\lambda_i}$ of $\mathcal{I}^{\varepsilon}$ is an elementary first integral of $\mathcal{F}$.
- If $f_i = 0$ is a local equation of a local branch N_{f_i} of Supp N, then $\lambda_i = \lambda_{f_i}(N_{f_i}) + 1$ where $\lambda_{f_i}(N_{f_i})$ stands for the weight of N_{f_i} in N and $\lambda_i = 1$ otherwise. Thus, e^{η_K} is univaluate and reduced (as a germ of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$) if and only if $x \notin$ Supp N.
- The local separatrices at x (i.e the local irreducible invariant hypersurfaces containing x) are exactly those given by $f_i = 0$.
- x is a regular point of $\mathcal{F}$ if and only if $n_x = 1$ and f_1 is submersive at x.

Our aim is to show that the fibers of ρ are connected if one allows to identify certain components contained in the same level of ρ. On the manifold X, this corresponds to identify some special leaves in a natural way. To clarify this, one may typically think to the fibration defined by the levels of $f = xy$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$. All the fibers are connected. However, if we look at the underlying foliation, the fiber $f^{-1}(0)$ consists in the union of two leaves that must be identified if one want to make the space of leaves Hausdorff. Observe also that, when blowing up the origin, these two special leaves intersect the exceptional divisor E on the new ambient manifold $\tilde{\mathbb{C}^2}$. Coming back to our situation, one may think that leaves wich "intersect" the same components of Supp N must be identified. This indeed occurs if we replace the general X_0 by the basic previous example $\tilde{\mathbb{C}^2} \setminus E$.

More precisely, we proceed as follows: For $p \in X_0$, denote by $\mathcal{C}_p$ the connected component of $\rho^{-1}(\rho(p))$ containing p. Let $p, q \in X_0$. Define the binary relation $\mathcal{R}$ by $p\mathcal{R}q$ whenever

(1) $\mathcal{C}_p = \mathcal{C}_q$
    or
(2) $\rho(p) = \rho(q)$ and there exists a connected component H of Supp N such that on any sufficiently small neighborhood of H, $(\eta_H)_\infty \cap \pi(\mathcal{C}_p) \neq \emptyset$ and $(\eta_H)_\infty \cap \pi(\mathcal{C}_q) \neq \emptyset$.

We will denote by $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ the equivalence relation generated by $\mathcal{R}$ and $A_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ the saturation of $A \subset X_0$ by $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$.

Remark 3.3. The saturation $(\mathcal{C}_p)_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ of a connected component of a fiber of ρ is generically reduced to itself. In fact, as a consequence of the above description of η_K, this holds outside a countable set of components wich projects by π onto a finite set of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ whose cardinal is less or equal to the number of irreducible components of $(\eta_K)_\infty$ with $K =$ Supp N.

Consider now the quotient space $X_0/\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ endowed with the quotient topology; as an obvious consequence of our construction, ρ factorize throught a surjective continuous map $\overline{\rho} : X_0/\overline{\mathcal{R}} \to U^\varepsilon$.

Using that the singularities of $\mathcal{F}$ are elementary and [29](Lemme 6.1, corollaire 6.2), we get the

Lemma 3.4. *The saturation $V_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ of an open set V is open in X_0.*

Remark 3.5. When X_0 is Galoisian, any deck transformation τ of X_0, r is compatible with the equivalence relation above there exists a unique set theoretically automorphism $\overline{\tau}$ of $X_0/\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ such that $\rho \circ \tau = \overline{\rho} \circ \overline{\tau} \circ \varphi_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ where $\varphi_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}} : X_0 \to X_0/\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ denotes the canonical projection. Observe also that $\overline{\tau}$ is an homeomorphism, by definition of the quotient topology.

The following result somewhat teaches us that, modulo these identification, the fibers of ρ are connected.

Theorem 3.6. *The map $\overline{\rho} : X_0/\overline{\mathcal{R}} \to U^\varepsilon$ is one to one.*

As a straightforward consequence, we obtain the

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose that the negative part N is trivial, then the fibers of ρ are connected.*

The proof is in some sense close to that of the completeness of ρ with makes use of a metric argument (see [29]). In this respect, it will be useful to recall the following definition (see [21]).

Definition 3.8. Let $\mathcal{F}$ a regular transversely hermitian codimension 1 holomorphic foliation on a complex manifold X. Let h be the metric induced on $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ by the invariant transverse hermitian metric. Let g be an hermitian metric on X and g_N the metric induced on $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ by g via the canonical identification ${T_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\perp} \simeq N_{\mathcal{F}}$.

The metric g is said to be **bundle-like** ("quasi-fibrée" in french terminology) if $g_N = h$.

Remark 3.9. Because of the "codimension 1" assumption on $\mathcal{F}$, one can notice that, when $\mathcal{F}$ is transversely hermitian, any hermitian metric on X is conformally equivalent to a bundle-like one .

The following property is used in [29] (Lemme 6.3):

Proposition 3.10. *(cf* [21] *, Proposition 3.5 p.86). Let $\mathcal{F}$ a transversely hermitian foliation equipped with a bundle like metric g. Let $\gamma : I \to X$ a geodesic arc (with respect to g). Assume that γ is orthogonal to the leaf passing through $\gamma(t_0)$ for some $t_0 \in I$. Then, for every $t \in I$, γ remains orthogonal to the leaf passing through $\gamma(t)$.*

Remark 3.11. In our setting, it is also worth recalling (*loc.cit) that $\rho(\gamma)$ is a geodesic arc of U^ε endowed with its natural metric ds^ε depending on the metric type of $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ (euclidean or hyperbolic).*

Proof of theorem 3.6

It is the consequence of the following sequence of observations. Consider firstly a bundle-like metric g defined on the complement of $E = \text{Supp } N \cup \text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$ in X. For $p \in X \setminus E$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $B(p, \varepsilon)$ stands for the closed geodesic ball of radius ε centered at p. This latter is well defined is ε is small enought (depending on p).

Acording to [29], prop 5.1, there exists a logarithmic 1 form η with positive residues defining the foliation near $E = \text{Supp } N \cup \text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$ (keep in mind that η is just a semi-local section of the sheaf $\mathcal{I}^{\varepsilon}_{d\log}$ mentioned above). Let $C_1, ..., C_p$ the connected component of E. Pick one of these, says C_1. Following [23], there exists an arbitrary small connected open neighborood U_1 of C_1 such that the fibers of $f_1 = \exp \int \eta$ (defined as a multivaluate fonction) on $U_1 \setminus (\eta)_\infty$ are connected and one can also assume that the polar locus η_∞ is connected. This latter can be thought as the "singular fiber" of f_1. Actually, assumptions of theorem B of [23] are fullfilled: this is due to the fact that the residues of η are positive equal to 1 on the local branches of $(\eta)_\infty$ intersecting C_1 not contained in C_1, noticing moreover that this set S^1 of local branches is **non empty** (see [29], Proposition 5.1 and 5.2), a fundamental fact for the following. Let $D_1 \subset C_1$ the union of irreducible codimension 1 components; in other words, D_1 is the connected component of Supp N contained in C_1. Remark that $S_1 = f_1^{-1}(0)$ when extending f_1 throught $S_1 \setminus D_1$. Observe also that S_1 is not necessarily connected, as the "negative" component D_1 has been removed. This justify the definition of the previous equivalence relation $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$.

Let $W_1 \Subset V_1 \Subset U_1$ some open neighborood of C_1. One can perform the same on each component and we thus obtain open set $W_i \Subset V_i \Subset U_i$ $i = 1...p$ with f_i, S_i similarly defined.

Let $U = \bigcup_i U_i,\ V = \bigcup_i V_i,\ W = \bigcup_i W_i$. Up to shrinking U_i, V_i and W_i, one can assume that the following holds:

(1) $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset,\ i \neq j$
(2) There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for every $m \in X \setminus \overline{W}$, $B(m, \alpha)$ is well defined.
(3) Let $x \in V_i \setminus \text{Supp } N$, and $f_{i,m}$ a determination of f_i at m, then the image of $U_i \setminus \text{Supp } N$ by f_i contains the closed geodesic ball (euclidean or hyperbolic) of radius α centered at $f_{i,m}(m)$, with $f_{i,m}$ any germ of determination of f_i in m.

Indeed, one can exhibit such V_i (the only non trivial point) in the following way. Pick $x \in S_i$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ is smooth on a neighborhood of x and take a small holomorphic curve

$$\gamma : \{|z| < \varepsilon\} \to U_i, \quad \gamma(0) = x$$

tranverse to $\mathcal{F}$ such that property (3) holds for every $y \in \text{Im } \gamma$ (this means that α can be chosen independently of y). This is possible because f_i (more exactly any of its determinations) is submersive near x. By [29] (Corollaire 6.2), the saturation of $\mathcal{C} = \text{Im}(\gamma)$ by $\mathcal{F}_{|U_i}$ is also an open neighborhood of C_i and one can take V_i equal to it. Note also, that the property (3) mentioned above do not depend on the choice of the determination, as others are obtained by left composition through a global isometry of U^{ε}.

Lemma 3.12. *The quotient space $X_0/\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is Hausdorff (with respect to the quotient topology).*

Proof. Suppose that $X_0/\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is not Hausdorff. Using lemma 3.4 and remark 3.3, one can then find two points p, q belonging to the same fiber of ρ such that $\{p\}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}} \neq \{q\}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ and a sequence $(p_n),\ p_n \in X_0$ converging to p such that

(1) $\{p_n\}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ coincide with the connected component of $\rho^{-1}(\rho(p_n))$ containing p_n and such that $\{p_n\}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ does not intersect the singular locus of the pull-back foliation $\pi^*\mathcal{F}$ (in other words, ρ is submersive near every point of $\{p_n\}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$).

(2) For every neighborood $\mathcal{V}$ of q, there exists n such that $\{p_n\}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}} \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset$. Equivalently, up passing to a subsequence, one can find (q_n), $q_n \in \{p_n\}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ converging to q. In particular, $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \rho(q_n) = \rho(q) = \rho(p)$.

Without any loss of generality, we may also assume that ρ is submersive near p and q.

Let $\gamma_n : [0,1] \to \{p_n\}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ be a continuous path joining p_n to q_n.

Let $t \in [0,1]$ and $p_n(t) = \rho(\gamma_n(t))$. In $[0,1]$, consider the open sets $O_n^1 = p_n^{-1}(U \setminus \overline{W})$ and $O_n^2 = p_n^{-1}(V)$.

One can choose n big enought such that the following constructions make sense.

If $p_n(t) \notin \overline{W}$, there exists a unique geodesic arc in $B(p_n(t), \alpha)$ orthogonal to the leaves wich lifts in X_0 to a path joining $\gamma_n(t)$ to a point $r_n(t)$ such that $\rho(r_n(t) = \rho(p)$.

In that way, one can define a map

$$\alpha_n : O_n^1 \to X_0/\overline{\mathcal{R}}$$

setting $\alpha_n(t) = \{r_n(t)\}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$. Note that α_n is *locally constant*.

Now suppose that $p_n(t) \in V_i$ for some i and denote by π^{-1} the local inverse of the covering map π sending $p_n(t)$ to $\gamma_n(t)$. Keeping track of the conditions imposed on $V_i \Subset U_i$, there exists a path in $U_i \setminus \operatorname{Supp} N$ starting from $p_n(t)$ such the analytic continuation of $\rho \circ \pi^{-1}$ has $\rho(p)$ as ending value. This path lifts in X_0 to a path joining $\gamma_n(t)$ to a point $s_n(t)$.

Remark 3.13. Note that the point $s_n(t)$ depends on the choice of this path. However, as a consequence of the connectedness of the fibers of f_i, the equivalent class $\{s_n(t)\}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ *is uniquely defined.*

This allows us to define a map

$$\beta_n : O_2^n \to X_0/\overline{\mathcal{R}}$$

setting $\beta_n(t) = \{s_n(t)\}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ which is also obviously locally constant.
Moreover, by remark 3.13, α_n and β_n coincide on $O_1^n \cap O_2^n$.

We have thus constructed a *locally constant* map from $[0,1]$ to $X_0/\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ sending 0 to $\{p\}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ and 1 to $\{q\}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$. This provides the sought contradiction.

□

Proof of the theorem 3.6.

By lemma 3.4, the map $\overline{\rho}$ is a local homeomorphism. Moreover, $X_0/\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is Hausdorff. Hence, $X_0/\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ carries, via $\overline{\rho}$, a uniquely defined connected Riemann surface structure wich makes $\overline{\rho}$ analytic.

Moreover the pull-back by $\overline{\rho}$ of the metric ds^ε is complete (this is straighforward consequence of the proof of theorem 3.2). Hence, it turns out that $\overline{\rho}$ is indeed an analytic covering map. The space U^ε being simply connected, one can conclude that $\overline{\rho}$ is actually a biholomorphism. □.

This allows us to recover (modulo further informations postponed in the sequel (see section 5) a result due to Carlos Simpson who has proved in [28] (among other things)

Theorem 3.14. *Let ω an holomorphic one form on X Kähler compact and let $\tau : \tilde{X} \to X$ a covering map such that*

$$g : \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{C}$$

obtained by integration of ω is well defined. Then we get the following alternative:

1. *(1) ω factors throught a surjective morphism $X \to B$ on a compact Riemann surface B,*
2. *(2) the fibers of g are connected.*

Proof. Let $\kappa(N^*_{\mathcal{F}})$ be the Kodaira dimension of the conormal bundle of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ defined by ω.

If $\kappa((N^*_{\mathcal{F}})) = 1$, one can apply the Castelnuovo-De Franchis theorem on a suitable ramified cyclic covering and show that $\mathcal{F}$ define a fibration over an algebraic curve B(see [26]); moreover (*loc.cit*, see also [7]), this dimension can't exceed one. Then one can assume that $\kappa((N^*_{\mathcal{F}})) = 0$; this is equivalent to say that $c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}})$ has zero numerical dimension (see theorem 4, section 5) and this implies that $U^{\varepsilon} = U^0 = \mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ the sheaf on X whose local sections are primitives of ω. In restriction to the complement of Supp N we thus have $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^0$

Keeping the previous notations, one can take X^0 equal to $\tilde{X} \setminus g^{-1}(H)$, setting $H =$ Supp N). In particular, one can conclude when N is trivial (corollary 3.7).

We will denote by g^0 the restriction of g to X^0. This is nothing but the developing map considered previously, in particular, the image of g^0 is $\mathbb{C}$. Let $A = \{a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $g^{-1}(a) \cap \tau^{-1}(H) \neq \emptyset\}$. Following theorem 3.6, the fiber $g^{-1}(x)$ is connected whenever $x \notin A$. We want to show that the same holds true if $x \in A$. Actually this easily results from the definition of $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$, theorem 3.6 and the following fact: for every point $y \in H$, there exists a continuous path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to H$ such that $\gamma(0) = y$ and $\gamma(1)$ belongs to a local separatrix wich is not a local branch of H ([29], Proposition 5.1 and 5.2).

□

4. Uniformization and dynamics

We keep the same assumptions and notations of the previous section, in particular, unless otherwise stated, X still denotes compact Kähler manifold carrying a codimension 1 foliation such that $N^*_{\mathcal{F}}$ is pseudoeffective.

The Hermitian transverse structure of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$, as explicited in [29] and recalled in section 3 , may degenerate on the negative part with very "mild" singularities, as described in *loc.cit*.

Hence, one can guess that this kind of degeneracy will not deeply affect the dynamics of leaves in comparison to the usual case: transversely riemannian foliations on (real) compact manifolds. Let us recall some properties in this setting (see [21]).

-The manifold is a disjoint union of minimals (recall that a minimal is a closed subset of X saturated by the foiation and minimal with respect to this properties).

-When the real codimension $\mathcal{F}$ is equal to 2, a minimal $\mathcal{M}$ fall into one of the following three types:

1. (1) $\mathcal{M}$ is a compact leaf.
2. (2) $\mathcal{M}$ coincide with the whole manifold.
3. (3) $\mathcal{M}$ is a real hypersurface.

Now, let's come back to our situation.

Recall that $\Im^\varepsilon$ denotes the isometry group of U^ε, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$ (keep in mind that $U^0 = \mathbb{C}$ and $U^1 = \mathbb{D}$). The locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{I}^\varepsilon$ induces a representation

$$r : \pi_1(X \setminus \text{Supp } N) \to \Im^\varepsilon$$

Let G the image of the representation r and $\overline{G}$ its closure (with respect to the usual topology) in $\Im^\varepsilon$.

Let $\rho : X_0 \to U^\varepsilon$ be the associated developping map. For the sake of convenience, we will assume that the covering $\pi : X_0 \to X \setminus \text{Supp } N$ is Galoisian and as such satisfies the following equivariance property

$$\rho \circ \gamma : r(\gamma) \circ \rho$$

where γ in the right side is an element of $\pi_1(X \setminus \text{Supp } N)$, in the left one is the corresponding deck transformation.

Definition 4.1. The group G is called the monodromy group of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$.

We would like to recover the aforementioned dynamical properties for regular foliations. As we deal with singular foliations, we will have to modify slightly the usual definition of a leaf, taking into account the identifications made in a previous section.

To this end, let $c \in U^\varepsilon$ and recall that $\rho^{-1}(c) = (\rho^{-1}(c))_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$, that is coincide with its saturation by the equivalence relation $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ (theorem 3.6). Let $F_c = \pi(\rho^{-1}(c))$; as a consequence of the previous construction, we have $F_c = F_{c'}$ if and only if there exists $g \in G$ such that $c' = g(c)$ (see remark 3.5). Let $\mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_p$ the connected components of Supp N such that $(\eta_{\mathcal{C}_i})_\infty \cap F_c \neq \emptyset$ and let $\mathcal{L}_c = F_c \cup_i \mathcal{C}_i$. Of course, this family of components depends on the choice of c.

Definition 4.2. A subset of X of the form $\mathcal{L}_c$ is called **modified leaf** of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 4.3. A subset $\mathcal{M}$ is called **modified minimal** of the foliation whenever $\mathcal{M}$ is the closure of a modified leaf and is minimal for the inclusion.

By remark 3.3, all modified leaves, except a finite number, are indeed ordinary leaves.

The family $\{\mathcal{L}_c\}$ defines a partition of X. Let $X/\mathcal{F}$ the associated quotient space which, in some sense represents the space of leaves. One obtain a canonical bijection

$$\Psi : X/\mathcal{F} \to U^\varepsilon/G$$

induced by $\mathcal{L}_c \to c$.

One can translate the previous observations into the following statement.

Theorem 4.4. *The map Ψ is a homeomorphism w.r.t the quotient topology on each space*

By compactness of X, we obtain the

Corollary 4.5. *The group G is cocompact, i.e: U^ε/G is a (non necessarily Hausdorff) compact space for the quotient topology*

With this suitable definition of the leaves space $X/\mathcal{F}$, one can observe that the dynamic behaviour of $\mathcal{F}$ is faithfully reflected in those of the group G. The next step is then to describe its topological closure $\overline{G}$.

Assume firstly that $U^\varepsilon = \mathbb{D}$ and G is affine (i.e: G fix a point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$). We want to show that this situation can't happen.

In this case, G does not contain any non trivial elliptic element. In particular, this means that $F = e^{\int \eta_H}$ is a well defined (univaluated) *holomorphic* first integral of the foliation on a neighborhood V of $H = \operatorname{supp} N$. Futhermore, one can notice that the zero divisor of the differential dF coincide with the negative part N. Using again that G is affine, one eventually obtains that $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by a section of $N^*_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-N) \otimes E$ without zeroes in codimension 1 where E is a flat line bundle (take the half plane model instead of $\mathbb{D}$).This contradicts the fact that the positive part Z is non trivial.

Once this case has been eliminated, the remaining ones can be easily described as follows (taking account that G is cocompact):

Proposition 4.6. *Up to conjugation in $\Im^\varepsilon$, the toplological closure $\overline{G}$ has one of the following form:*

1. *$G = \overline{G}$ and then is a cocompact lattice.*
2. *The action of G is minimal and*
   (a) *either $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\overline{G}$ contains the translation subgroup of $\Im^0$,*
   (b) *either $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\overline{G} = \Im^1$.*
3. *$\varepsilon = 0$ and $\overline{G}$ contains the subgroup of real translation , $T = \{t_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}(t_\alpha(z) = z + \alpha)$ and more precisely*
   (a) *either $\overline{G} = \langle T, t\rangle$,*
   (b) *either $\overline{G} = \langle T, t, s\rangle$*
   with $t(z) = z + ai$ for some real $a \neq 0$ and $s(z) = -z$.

When considering the foliation viewpoint, this latter description leads to the

Theorem 3. *The topological closure of every modified leaf is a modified minimal, the collection of those modified minimals form a partition of X , moreover*

1. *in case (1) of prop. 4.6, Modified leaves coincide with their closure and $\mathcal{F}$ defines, via Ψ, an holomorphic fibration over the curve U^ε/G;*
2. *in case (2) of prop. 4.6, X is the unique modified minimal;*
3. *in case (3) of prop. 4.6, every modified minimal is a real analytic hypersurface.*

Remark 4.7. It is worth noticing that the existence of a closed modified leaf implies that the other ones are automatically closed (case 1 of the previous theorem).

Corollary 4.8. *Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is not a fibration, then the family of irreducible hypersurfaces invariant by $\mathcal{F}$ is exceptional and thus has cardinal bounded by the picard number $\rho(X)$.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a non exceptional family of invariant hypersurfaces. One can then find a connected hypersurface $K = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup ... \cup K_r$ invariant by $\mathcal{F}$ such that the family of irreducible components $\{K_1, ..., K_r\}$ is not an exceptional one. By virtue of Proposition 5.2 of [29] , K is necessarily a modified leaf and $\mathcal{F}$ is tangent to a fibration by remark 4.7. □

5. Kodaira dimension of the conormal bundle

As previously, $\mathcal{F}$ is a codimenson 1 holomorphic foliation on a compact Käbler manifold X such that $N_{\mathcal{F}}{}^*$ is pseudoeffective. We adopt the same notations as before.

In [29] (see Remarque 2.16 in *loc.cit*), it has been proved that for $\alpha = c_1(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*)$, the numerical dimension $\nu(\alpha)$ takes value in $\{0, 1\}$ depending of the metric type of $N_{\mathcal{F}}$; 0 corresponds to the Euclidean one and 1 to the hyperbolic one (see theorem 3.1). Moreover, we always have

$$\kappa(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) \leq \nu(\alpha)$$

where the left member represents the Kodaira dimension of $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$. This is a common feature for line bundles (see [22]) that can be directly verified in our case. Indeed, we always have $\kappa(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) \leq 1$ (cf. [26]) and when $\alpha = \{N\}$ (in particular, $N = \sum_i \lambda_i D_i$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ effective divisor), $[N]$ is the only positive current wich represents $\{N\}$. This latter property implies that $\kappa(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) \leq \kappa(N) = 0$.

Among the different cases described in prop. theorem 3, we would like to characterize those for wich abundance holds, that is $\kappa(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) = \nu(\alpha)$.

Theorem 4. *Let $\alpha = c_1(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*)$. Then, $\nu(\alpha) = \kappa(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*)$ in both cases:*

(1) $\varepsilon = 0$ (Eulidean type).
(2) $\varepsilon = 1$ (Hyperbolic type) and G is a lattice.

Moreover, in the remaining case $\varepsilon = 1$ and G dense in $\Im^1$, we have $\kappa(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) = -\infty$ and $\nu(\alpha) = 1$.

Proof. Let us firstly settle the case $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\overline{G} = \Im^1$

Assume that $\kappa(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) \geq 0$. Consider in first place the simplest situation $h^0(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) \neq 0$; this means that the foliation is defined by an holomorphic 1 form ω. Taking local primitives of ω, we can equipped the foliation with a transverse projective structure different from the hyperbolic one (attached to $\varepsilon = 1$). By means of the Schwarzian derivative, one can thus construct, as usual, a quadratic differential of the form

$$F\omega \otimes \omega$$

where F is a meromorphic first integral of $\mathcal{F}$. For obvious dynamical reasons, F is automatically constant and this forces local first integrals $g \in \mathcal{I}^1$ to be expressed in the form $g = e^{\lambda f}$ (modulo the left action of $\Im^1$). In particular the monodromy group G must be abelian and this leads to a contradiction.

In the more general setting, the same conclusion holds with the same arguments, considering a suitable ramified covering $\pi : X_1 \to X$ such that the pull-back foliation is defined by an holomorphic form.

Concerning the case 2) of theorem 4 , we know that $\mathcal{F}$ is a fibration over the compact riemann surface $S = \mathbb{D}/G$. For $n \gg 0$ one can then find two independant holomorphic sections s_1, s_2 of ${K_S}^{\otimes n}$ (with respect to the hyperbolic orbifold structure). One must take care that the map Ψ is not necessarily a morphism in the orbifold sense. Indeed, the local expression of Ψ along Supp N is given, up to left composition by an element of $\Im^\varepsilon$, as a multivaluate section of $\mathcal{I}^\varepsilon$ of the form $f_1^{\lambda_1}....f_r^{\lambda_r}$ (see remark 3.3). Hence, it fails to be an orbifold map through Supp N unless the λ_i are integers. However, the fact that they are > 1 (as pointed out in

loc.cit) guarantees that s_1 and s_2 lift (via Ψ) to 2 independant *holomorphic* sections of $N_{\mathcal{F}}^{*\otimes n}$, whence $\kappa(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) = 1$.

The remaining case (1) is a bit more delicate to handle. Here, the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*)$ is directly related to the linear part G_L. Namely, $\kappa(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) = 0$ if and only if G_L is finite. This occurs in particular when $\mathcal{F}$ is a fibration.

One can then eliminate this case and suppose from now on that the generic leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is not compact. Assume firstly that N is an effective integral divisor (a priori, it is only $\mathbb{Q}$ effective). This means that the foliation is defined by a twisted one form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1 \otimes E)$ with value in a numerically trivial line bundle E, that is $E \in \mathrm{Pic}^\tau(M) := \{L \in \mathrm{Pic}\ (M) | c_1(L) = 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})\}$. Note that the zeroes divisor of ω is precisely N and the theorem will be established once we will prove that E is actually a torsion line bundle.

To this aim, remark that the dual E^* is an element of the so-called Green-Lazarsfeld subset

$$S^1(X) = \{L \in \mathrm{Pic}^\tau \text{ such that } H^1(X, L) \neq 0\}.$$

In the sequel, it will be useful to consider $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$ as the paramerizing space of rank one local systems and to introduce the set

$$\Sigma^1(X) = \{\mathcal{L} \in H^1(X, \mathbb{C}^*) \text{ such that } H^1(X, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0\}$$

When $\mathcal{L}$ is unitary, $S^1(X)$ and $\Sigma^1(X)$ are related as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} \in \Sigma^1(X) \text{ if and only if } L \in S^1(X) \cup -S^1(X)$$

where $L = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ is the numerically trivial line bundle defined by $\mathcal{L}$ (see [1], a) Proposition 3.5).

When X is projective, Simpson has shown that the isolated points of $\Sigma^1(X)$ are torsion characters ([27]). This has been further generalized by Campana in the Kähler setting ([8]).

We proceed by contradiction. Assume that $\kappa(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) \neq 0$, in other words, E is not torsion.

This can be translated into the existence of a flat unitary connection

$$\nabla_u : E \to \Omega^1(E)$$

whose monodromy representation has infinite image H in the unitary group $U(1)$. As mentioned before, H is nothing but the linear part G_L of the monodromy group G attached to the foliation

Keeping track of Simpson's result, $\mathcal{E} = \ker \nabla_u$ is not isolated in $\Sigma^1(X)$, hence, following [1], one can conclude to the existence of an holomorphic fibration with connected fibers over a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \leq 1$ such that $\mathcal{E} \in p^*(H^1(B, \mathbb{C}^*)$, up replacing X by a finite étale covering.

From $\nabla_u \omega = 0$ and the fact that E comes from a line bundle on B, one can conclude that ω restricts to an holomorphic closed one form on open sets $p^{-1}(V)$, V simply connected open set in B.

Let F be a smooth fiber of p and $\Gamma < \mathrm{Aut}(H^1(F, \mathbb{C}))$ the monodromy group associated to the Gauss-Manin connection. Let D the line spanned by $\omega_{|F}$ in $H^1(F, \mathbb{C})$ (recall that the foliation is not tangent to the fibration).

One can observe than D is globally Γ invariante (actually, H is just the induced action of Γ on D). By [12], Corollaire 4.2.8, or the fact that the connected component of $\overline{\Gamma}^{Zar}$ is semi-simple (see *loc.cit*), one can then infer that H is finite.

The fact that N is in general only effective over $\mathbb{Q}$ does not really give troubles. One can easily reduce this case to the previous one, working on a suitable ramified covering.

□

Remark 5.1. Deligne's results quoted above are stated in an algebraic setting but the semi-simplicty of $\overline{\Gamma}^{Zar}$ remains true in the Kähler realm, as pointed out in [8].

6. The non abundant case

We are dealing with the non abundant case: $\kappa(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) = -\infty < \nu(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) = 1$. From now on, we will also assume that the ambient manifold X is **projective**.

As a consequence of theorem 4, we know that $\mathcal{F}$ is a **quasi-minimal** foliation (i.e: all but finely many leaves are dense).

The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ fall into the general setting of transversely projective foliations. For the reader convenience, we now recall some definitions/properties concerning these latters.

6.1. **Transversely projective foliations, projective triples.** We follows closely the presentation of [17] (see also [18]) and we refer to *loc.cit* for precise definitions. A transversely projective foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a complex manifold X is the data of (E, ∇, σ) where

- $E \to X$ is a rank 2 vector bundle,
- ∇ a flat meromorphic connection on E and
- $\sigma : X \to P = \mathbb{P}(E)$ a meromorphic section generically transverse to the codimension one Riccati foliation $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{P}(\nabla)$ and such that $\mathcal{F} = \sigma^*\mathcal{R}$.

Let us assume (up to birational equivalence of bundles) that $E = X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is the trivial bundle (this automatically holds when X is projective by GAGA principle), σ is the section $\{z = 0\}$ so that the Riccati equation writes

$$\Omega = dz + \omega_0 + z\omega_1 + z^2\omega_2$$

with ω_0 defining $\mathcal{F}$. Setting $z = \frac{z_2}{z_1}$ we get the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-connection (i.e. trace free)

$$\nabla \ : \ Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \ \mapsto \ dZ + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & -\alpha \end{pmatrix} Z$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & -\alpha \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\omega_1 & -\omega_2 \\ \omega_0 & \frac{1}{2}\omega_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla = 0 \ \Leftrightarrow \ \Omega \wedge d\Omega = 0 \ \Leftrightarrow \ \begin{cases} d\omega_0 = \ \omega_0 \wedge \omega_1 \\ d\omega_1 = 2\omega_0 \wedge \omega_2 \\ d\omega_2 = \ \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \end{cases}$$

Change of triples arise from birational gauge transformations of the bundle fixing the zero section

$$\frac{1}{z} = a\frac{1}{z'} + b \tag{3}$$

where a, b are rational functions on X, $a \not\equiv 0$:

$$\begin{cases} \omega_0' = a\omega_0 \\ \omega_1' = \omega_1 - \frac{da}{a} + 2b\omega_0 \\ \omega_2' = \frac{1}{a}\left(\omega_2 + b\omega_1 + b^2\omega_0 - db\right) \end{cases}$$

A projective structure is thus the data of a triple $(\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ up to above equivalence.

Remark 6.1. Once ω_0 and ω_1 are fixed, ω_2 is completely determined.

Definition 6.2. The transversely projective foliation $\mathcal{F}$ has regular singularities if the corresponding connection has at worst regular singularities in the sense of [11].

Let us make this more explicit in the case we are concerned with..
Pick a point $x \in X$ and assume first that $x \notin \text{Supp } N$. Over a small neighborhood of x, consider the Ricatti equation $dz + df$ where f is a distinguished first integral of $\mathcal{F}$.

Consider now the hypersurface $H = \text{Supp } N$ along which $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by the logaritmic closed form η_H . Let us define the Ricatti equation over a neighbohood of $\mathcal{H}$ as

$$\frac{dz}{z+1} + \eta_{\mathcal{C}}. \tag{4}$$

Note that one recover the original foliation on the section $z = 0$

Let $\{F = 0\}$ the local equation at p of the polar locus of $\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$ corresponding to residues equal to one. Permorming the birationnal tranformation of $\mathbb{P}^1$ bundle $z \to Fz$, one obtains the new Ricatti equation $dz + F\eta_{\mathcal{C}} + z(\eta_{\mathcal{C}} - \frac{dF}{F})$ without pole on $\{F = 0\}$. This latter property enables us to glue together these local models with gluing local bundle automorphisms of the previous form (3). Note that these transformations preserve the section $\{z = 0\}$. In this way, we have obtained a $\mathbb{P}^1$ bundle equipped with a Riccati foliation (in this setting, this means a foliation transverse to the general fiber) wich induced the sought foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a meromorphic (actually holomorphic) section. By [15], this $\mathbb{P}^1$ is actually the projectivization of a rank 2 vector bundle.

Without additional assumptions on the complex manifold X, nothing guarantees that this Riccati foliation $\mathbb{P}^1$ bundle is the projectivization of a rank 2 meromorphic flat connection.

However, when X is projective, one can assume that (birationnaly speaking) this $\mathbb{P}^1$ bundle is trivial and that the resulting Riccati foliation is then defined by a global equation

$$\Omega = dz + \omega_0 + z\omega_1 + z^2\omega_2 \tag{5}$$

hence defined as the projectivization of the rank two meromorphic flat connection.

$$\nabla \ : \ Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \ \mapsto \ dZ + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & -\alpha \end{pmatrix} Z.$$

It is worth noticing that Δ has regular singular poles, thanks to the local expression given by (4).

Let $(\nabla)_\infty$ be this polar locus. From flatness, we inherit a representation of monodromy

$$r_\nabla : \pi_1(X \setminus (\nabla)_\infty) \to SL(2,\mathbb{C})$$

whose projectivization gives the original representation

$$r : \pi_1(X \setminus \text{Supp } N) \to PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$$

attached to the transversely hyperbolic foliation $\mathcal{F}$. In particular, one can assume that the image of r_∇ **lies in** $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ (if one takes the Poincaré upper half plane model $\mathbb{H}$ instead of the disk).
As we are dealing with regular singularities, note also than one can recover ∇ from r_∇ (Riemann-Hilbert correspondance).

Remark 6.3. As we work up to birationnal equivalence of connection, $(\nabla)_\infty$ does not necessarily coincide with Supp N. We may have added some "fakes" poles around which the local monodromy (associated to ∇) is $\pm Id$

Let U a dense Zariski open subset of X wich does not not intersect $(\nabla)_\infty$ and denote by $\rho_U : \pi_1(U) \to SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ the monodromy representation of the flat connection ∇ restricted to U. We want to show that the representation ρ_∇ does not come from a curve in the following sense:

Theorem 6.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ a quasiprojective curve and let*

$$\rho_\mathcal{C} : \pi_1(\mathcal{C}) \to PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$$

a representation. Then there is no morphism

$$\varphi : U \to \mathcal{C}$$

such that ρ_U factors projectively to $\rho_\mathcal{C}$ through φ.

Proof. Up removing some additionnal points in $\mathcal{C}$ one can realize $\rho_\mathcal{C}$ as the monodromy projectivization of a regular singular rank 2 connection $\nabla_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}$ defined on the projective closure $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. Let $\mathcal{R}_X$ the Riccati foliation defined by (5) and $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}$ the one defined by $\mathbb{P}(\nabla_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}})$. They respectively lie on $\mathbb{P}^1$ bundles E_X, $E_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}$ over X and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$.

We proceed by contradiction. The existence of the morphism ϕ can be translated into the existence of a rational map of $\mathbb{P}^1$ bundles $\Psi : E_X \dashrightarrow E_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{R}_X = \Psi^* \mathcal{R}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}.$$

In particular, we obtain that ${\Psi_X}^* \mathcal{R}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}} = \mathcal{F}$, where Ψ_X denotes the restriction of Ψ to X (identified with the section $\{z = 0\}$. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ being a quasi-minimal foliation, this implies that Ψ_X is dominant (otherwise, $\mathcal{F}$ would admit a rational first integral). Moreover, as the image of r lies in $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$, one can assume that the same holds for $\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}$ (this is true up to finite index). In particular none of the leaves $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}$ is dense (the action of $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ fix a disk). This contradicts the quasi-minimality of $\mathcal{F}$.

□

We are now ready to give the proof of the main theorem 1 of the introduction.

Proof of theorem 1. By [29], iv) of Proposition 2.14, the defining form η_H has *rational* residues. As a by-product, the local monodromy of the rank two local

system defined by $\nabla = 0$ around $(\nabla)_\infty$ is finite (and more precisely takes values in a group of roots of unity). Keeping in mind theorem 6.4, one can now apply the main theorem of [9] (rigid case in the alternative) which asserts that there exists an algebraic morphism Φ between $X \setminus (\nabla)\infty$ and the orbifold space $\mathfrak{H}$ such that $r = \Phi^*\rho_i$, $1 \leq i \leq p = \text{Dim } \mathfrak{h}$ where ρ_i is the i^{th} tautological representation $\Gamma = \pi_1^{orb}(X) \to \Gamma_i$ defined by the projection onto the i^{th} factor. Actually these representations are related to one another by field automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$.

Note that there may be exists other "tautological" representations of Γ of unitary type (hidden behind the arithmetic nature of Γ) but they are not relevant in our case, as r_∇ takes values in $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ (see [9] for a thorough discussion).

Actually, the theorem of Corlette and Simpson only claims a priori the existence of a morphism which factorize representations and where foliations are not involved, hence we have to provide an additional argument wich allows passing from representation to foliations, as stated by theorem 1 .

To achieve this purpose, consider the n codimension 1 foliations $\mathcal{F}_j$, $j = 1, ..., n$ on $\mathfrak{H}$. These are obtained from the codimension 1 foliations $dz_j = 0$ on the polydisk $\mathbb{D}^p$ after passing to the quotient (this makes sense as Γ acts diagonally on $\mathbb{D}^p$). These p foliations give rise by pull-back p transversely hyperbolic foliations $\Phi^*\mathcal{F}_j$ on $X \setminus (\nabla)_\infty$. Note that $\Phi^*\mathcal{F}_i$ has the same monodromy representation than $\mathcal{F}$ but might, a priori differ from this latter.

Remark that one can recover Φ from the datum of $\mathcal{F}_j$ by choosing in a point $x \in X$ n germs of distinguished first integrals $Z(\mathcal{F}_j)$, $j = 1, ...p$ attached to $\mathcal{F}_j$ and taking their analytic continuation along paths in $X \setminus (\nabla)_\infty$ starting at x.. More precisely, the analytic continuation of the $p - uple$ $(Z(\mathcal{F}_1), ..., Z(\mathcal{F}_p)$ defines a map $X \setminus (\nabla)_\infty$ to $\mathfrak{H}$ which is nothing but Φ.

Actually, Φ extends across $(\nabla_\infty) \setminus \text{Supp } N$ (as an orbifold morphism). This is just a consequence of the Riemann extension theorem, keeping in mind that distinguished first integrals take values in $\mathbb{D}$ and that the local monodromy around extra poles of $(\nabla)_\infty$ is projectively trivial. By the same kind of argument, one can extend Φ through Supp N using that the finiteness of the local monodromy around this latter. The only difference with the previous case lies in the fact that Φ extends as an analytic map between X and the underlying analytic space of the orbifold $\mathfrak{H}$, but not as a map in the orbifold setting (this phenomenon occurs when this local monodromy is not projectively trivial).

To get the conclusion, we are going to modify Φ into an algebraic map

$$\Psi : X \to \mathfrak{H}$$

in order to have $\mathcal{F} = \Psi^*\mathcal{F}_i$.

For convenience, $\mathfrak{H}$ will be regarded as a quotient of the product $\mathbb{H}^p$ of p copies of the upper Poincaré's upper halph plane.

Let $r_i : \pi_1(X \setminus \text{supp } N) \to PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ the monodromy representation associated to $\Phi^*\mathcal{F}_i$. One knows that r and r_i coincide up to conjugation in $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$. In other words, there exists $\alpha \in PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$ such that for every loop γ of $\pi_1(X \setminus \text{supp } N)$, $r_i(\gamma) = \alpha r(\gamma)\alpha^{-1}$.

As the image of r is dense in $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$, the conjugating transformation α lies in the normalizer of $PSL(2,\mathbb{R}$ in $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$ which is the group generated by $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ and the inversion $\tau(z) = \frac{1}{\bar{z}}$. We then have two cases to examine, depending whether one can choose $\alpha \in PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$ or not.

- If $\alpha \in PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$, take p germs of distinguished first integrals associated to $\Phi^*\mathcal{F}_j$ $j \neq i$ and $\mathcal{F}$ in $x \in X \setminus (\nabla)_\infty$ and consider their analytic continuation along paths. This induces an analytic orbifold map $X \setminus (\nabla)_\infty$ to $\mathfrak{H}$ which analytically extends to the whole manifold X using the same lines of argumentation as previously This defines the sought morphism Ψ.

- Otherwise, one can assume that $\alpha = \tau$. We do the same work as before except that we replace the germ of distinguished first integral f_x of $\mathcal{F}$ by $\overline{\tau \circ f_x}$. We thus obtain a well defined map

$$X \setminus (\nabla)_\infty \to \mathfrak{H}$$

which is no longer holomorphic (it's antiholomorphic on the "i^{th}" component). Let $\varphi : \mathbb{H}^p \to \mathbb{H}^p$ defined by $\varphi(z_1,...,z_p) = (z_1,...,z_i,\overline{\tau}(z_i),z_{i+1},...,z_p)$ and $\Gamma' = \varphi\Gamma\varphi^{-1}$. As φ is an isometry, Γ' is still a lattice. We then obtain, passing to the quotient, a map

$$\Psi_2 : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H}' = \mathbb{H}^p/\Gamma'$$

One can now easily check that $\Psi = \Psi_2 \circ \Psi_1$ (more exactly its extension to the whole X) has the required property by changing the complex structure on $\mathfrak{H}$ as described above.

□

7. The logarithmic setting

We begin this section by collecting some classical results about logarithmic 1 form for further use.

7.1. **Logarithmic one forms.** We follow closely the exposition of [7]. Let X a complex manifold and H a *simple normal crossing hypersurface* wich decomposes into irreducible components as $H = H_1 \cup ...H_p$. A *logarithmic 1 form* on X with poles along H is a meromorphic 1 form ω with polar set $(\omega)_\infty$ such that ω and $d\omega$ have at most simple poles along H. In other words, if $f = 0$ is a local reduced equation of H, then $f\omega$ and $fd\omega$ are holomorphic. This latter properties are equivalent to say that $f\omega$ and $df \wedge d\omega$ are holomorphic. By localization on arbitrarily small open subset, one obtain a locally free sheaf on X denoted by $\Omega^1_X(\log H)$.

If $(z_1,...,z_n)$ is a local coordinate system around $x \in X$ such that H is locally expressed as $z_1...z_k = 0$, then every section of $\Omega^1_X(\log H)$ around x can be written as

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_i \frac{dz_i}{z_i} \tag{6}$$

where ω_0 is a holomorphic 1 form and each g_i is a holomorphic function.

Equivalently, one can locally write ω as

$$\omega = \omega_i + g_i \frac{dz_i}{z_i}$$

where g_i is holomorphic and ω_i is a local section of $\Omega^1_X(\log H)$ whose polar set does not contain $H_i = \{z_i = 0\}$.

Despite that this decomposition fails to be unique, we have a well defined map (the so called *Residue map*):

$$\mathrm{Res} : \Omega^1_X(\log H) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{O}_{H_i}$$

summing on i the maps

$$\mathrm{Res}_{H_i} : \omega \to g_{i|H_i}.$$

We will also denote by Res the induced morphism

$$H^0(\Omega^1_X(\log H) \otimes L) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} H^0(H_i, \mathcal{O}_{L_i})$$

for global logarithmic form twisted by a line bundle L (setting $\mathcal{O}(L_i) := \mathcal{O}(L_{|H_i})$).

7.2. **A class of twisted logarithmic form.** From now on, we will suppose that there exists on X **Kähler** compact, with fixed Kähler form θ, a globally defined twisted logarithmic form, assuming moreover that the dual bundle L^* is **pseudo-effective**.

Let h be a (maybe singular) hermitian metric on L^* with positive curvature current $\Theta_h \geq 0$. In a local trivialization $L_{|U} \simeq U \times \mathbb{C}$, we thus have

$$h(x, v) = |v|^2 e^{-2\varphi(x)}.$$

Hence,

$$T = \frac{i}{\pi} \partial\overline{\partial}\varphi$$

is a positive current representing $c_1(L^*) = -c_1(L)$.

and let

$$\{T\} = \{N\} + Z$$

be the divisorial Zariski decomposition of this latter.

In the sequel we will assume that $\mathrm{Res}_{H_i}(\omega) \neq 0$ for every component H_i of H.

Remark 7.1. As L^* is pseudoeffective, one can infer that either L_i is the trivial line bundle, either ${L_i}^*$ is not pseudoeffective and $H_i \subset \mathrm{Supp}\ N$.

As a by-product, one obtains the

Lemma 7.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ a connected component of H, then the following alternative holds:*

a) $\mathcal{C}$ is contained in Supp N.
b) For any irreducible component $H_{\mathcal{C}}$ of $\mathcal{C}$, $H_{\mathcal{C}} \cap$ Supp $N = \emptyset$ and $Z.\{H_{\mathcal{C}}\} = 0$. Such a $\mathcal{C}$ is then called a **non exceptional component**.

From now on, we fix a current T representing $c_1(L^*)$.

By definition of the negative part, T has non vanishing Lelong's numbers along Supp N.

In particular, the local potentials φ of T are necessarily equal to $-\infty$ on connected component of H satisfying the item a) in lemma 7.2.

Assume now that $H_{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{C}$ fulfills the properties of point (2). In this case, L is trivial restricted to $H_{\mathcal{C}}$ (remark 7.1). Then,

(1) either the restrictions of φ on $H_{\mathcal{C}}$ are local *pluriharmonic functions*,
(2) either these restrictions are identically $-\infty$.

Following the previous numerotation, $H_{\mathcal{C}}$ is said to be of **type** (1) **or** (2). Note that, by connectedness, every irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}$ has the same type. This allows us to define **connected components of** H **of type** (1) **and** (2) with respect to T, noticing that any connected component belongs to one (and only one) of these types.

Definition 7.3. Let T a closed positive current such that $\{T\} = c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}})$.

The boundary divisor H is said to be of *type* (1) (resp. *type* (2)) with respect to T if each of its connected components is of type (1) (resp. of type (2)) and when both situations occur, H is said to be of *mixed type* (with respect to T).

Lemma 7.4. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subset H$ a connected component of type* (1)*. Assume that $Z \neq 0$. Then there exists a non negative real number λ and an integral effective divisor D, Supp $D = \mathcal{C}$ such $\{D\} = \lambda Z$.*

Proof. By pluriharmononicity of the local $\varphi_{|H}$, h restricts on H to a unitary flat metric g. We denote by ∇_{g^*} the $(1,0)$ part of the Chern connection associated to the dual metric g^*. This expresses locally as

$$\nabla_{g^*} = \partial\varphi_{|H} + d$$

and $\partial\varphi_{|H}$ is an *holomorphic* 1 form.

Fix $j_0 \in \{1, ..., p\}$ The norm $a_{j_0 j_0} = \{\mathrm{Res}_{H_{j_0}}(\omega), \{\mathrm{Res}_{H_{j_0}}(\omega)\}_{g^*}$ defined on H_{j_0} is locally given by

$$e^{2\varphi_{|H}}|g_{j_0}|^2$$

which is obviously *plurisubharmonic*. This implies that $a_{j_0 j_0}$ is indeed *constant*. Similarly, one can deduce that $a_{j j_0} = \{\mathrm{Res}_{H_j}(\omega), \mathrm{Res}_{H_{j_0}}(\omega)\}_{g^*}$ is constant along every connected component of $H_{j_0} \cap H_j$.

Locally, one can write

$$\omega = \omega = \omega_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} g_j \frac{df_j}{f_j}$$

where f_{j_0} is the local expression of a global section of $\mathcal{O}(H_{j_0})$ vanishing on H_{j_0}. Consider a smooth metric on (H_{j_0}) with local weight ϕ. One can then easily check that the local forms

$$\omega_\phi = \omega_0 + \sum_{j \neq j_0} g_i \frac{dz_j}{z_j} + 2g_{j_0}\partial\phi$$

glue together along H_{j_0} in a section ω_{j_0} of $\Omega^1_{H_{j_0}}(\log D_{j_0}) \otimes \mathcal{E}^\infty \otimes L_{j_0}$ where $D_{j_0} = \bigcup_{j \neq j_0} H_{j_0} \cap H_j$ is a simple normal crossing hypersurface on H_{j_0} and $\mathcal{E}^\infty$ is the sheaf of germs of smooth functions in H_{j_0}.

The hermitian product

$$\{\omega_i, \mathrm{Res}_{H_{j_0}}(\omega)\}_{g^*} = e^{2\varphi_{|H}}\overline{g_{j_0}} \wedge \omega_\phi$$

is well defined as a current on H_{j_0}. One may compute its differential with respect to the $\overline{\partial}$ operator. Using that

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi}\overline{\partial}(\frac{dz_i}{z_j}) = [z_j = 0] \text{ (the integration current along } z_j = 0)$$

and that the a_{jj_0}'s are constant, one easily gets that

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi}\overline{\partial}(\{\omega_i, \mathrm{Res}_{H_{j_0}(\omega)}\}_{g^*})$$

represents in cohomology the intersection class

$$(\sum_j a_{jj_0}\{H_j\})\{H_{j_0}\}.$$

In particular Stokes theorem yields that $(\sum_j a_{jj_0}\{H_j\}\{H_{j_0}\})\{\theta\})^{n-2} = 0$. Summing up on all j_0, we obtain the following vanishing formula

$$(\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}\{H_i\}\{H_j\})\{\theta\}^{n-2} = 0.$$

Thanks to Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and the fact that $\{H_i\}\{H_j\}\{\theta\}^{n-2} \geq 0$ if $i \neq j$, one can promptly deduce that

$$\langle I\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle \geq 0$$

where I is the intersection matrix $\{H_i\}\{H_j\}\{\theta\}^{n-2}$, $\langle\rangle$ is the standard Hermitian product on $\mathbb{C}^p$ and Λ denotes the vector $\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{a_{11}} \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{a_{pp}} \end{pmatrix}$.

In particular, I is non-negative and from elementary bilinear algebra , one can produce an effective integral divisor D whose support is $\mathcal{C}$ and such that

$$\{D\}^2\{\theta\}^{n-2} = 0.$$

Keeping in mind that we have also $Z^2\{\theta\}^{n-2} \geq 0$ and $Z\{D\} = 0$, one concludes by Hodge's signature theorem that $\{D\}$ is a multiple of Z.

□

Let $\{\omega, \omega\}_{h^*}$ the norm of ω with respect to the dual metric h^*. This is a well defined positive (a priori non closed) positive current on $X\backslash H$ wich locally expressed as

$$\eta = \frac{i}{\pi}e^{2\varphi}\omega \wedge \overline{\omega}$$

(by a slight abuse of language, we denote also by ω a local holomorphic 1 form-the restriction of the global twisted 1 form ω to a trivialyzing open set).

Following [De], we inherit from the dual metric h^* a Chern connection whose $(1,0)$ part ∂_{h^*} acts on ω as

$$\partial_{h^*}\omega = \partial\omega + 2\partial\varphi \wedge \omega$$

on a trivializing chart.

Note that the resulting $(2,0)$ form (well defined outside H) has L^1_{loc} coefficients.

Theorem 5. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and ω a non trivial section of $\Omega^1_X(\log H)\otimes L$ with L^* pseudoeffectif. Assume that either $Z=0$ (T is then unique and equal to $[N]$), either $Z>0$ and H is of type (2), then, outside the polar locus H, the following identity holds (in the sense of current):*

$$\partial_{h^*}\omega = 0. \tag{7}$$

In particular, ω is Frobenius integrable ($\omega\wedge d\omega=0$); moreover η and $T=\frac{i}{\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi$ are closed positive $\mathcal{F}$-invariant currents respectively on $X\setminus H$ and X, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the codimension 1 foliation defined by ω.

Remark 7.5.

- When $H=\emptyset$, this theorem has been established in [13] and this statement is just a generalization to the logarithmic setting of the invariance properties of currents recalled in section 3.1.
- By invariance of H, it is enough to prove the invariance of T on $X\setminus H$ to get the invariance on the whole X (see [29], Remarque 2.2).

The proof of theorem 5 is divided into two parts, each one corresponding to a particular "numerical property" of the positive part Z and the type of the boundary hypersurface H.

7.3. **The case $Z=0$.** In this situation N is a $\mathbb{Q}$ effective divisor.

Assume firstly that N is an integral effective divisor.

We have

$$\omega\in H^0(X,\Omega^1_X(\log H)(-N)\otimes E)$$

with E a numerically trivial line bundle.

Consider the canonical injection

$$\iota:\Omega^1_X(\log H)(-N)\otimes E\hookrightarrow\Omega^1_X(\log H)\otimes E$$

where the first term is regarded as the sheaf of logarithmic 1 forms with respect to H whose zeroes divisor is contained in N.

Let ∇_E the unique unitary connection on E and ∇_{E_i} its restriction on H_i. By flatness of E_i, one can infer that

$$\nabla_{E_i}\mathrm{Res}_{H_i}(\iota(\omega))=0$$

As a by-product, and using that $\overline{\partial}$ commutes with ∇_E, one obtains that

$$\xi=\nabla_E\omega\in H^0(X,\Omega^2_X\otimes E)$$

In particular, one obtains that the differential d of the well defined $(2,1)$ current $(\nabla_E\omega)\wedge\overline{\Omega}\wedge\theta^{n-2}$ is a *positive* $(2,2)$ form, namely $-\xi\wedge\overline{\xi}\wedge\theta^{n-2}$. By Stokes theorem, this latter is necessarily identically zero. In other words,

$$\nabla_E\ \iota(\omega)=0. \tag{8}$$

The proof above is the same of that presented in [4], p.81 (see also [7] and references therein). We have have just replaced L *trivial* by L *numerically trivial*.

For some suitable open covering $\mathcal{U}$, ω is defined by the data of local logarithmic one forms $\omega_U \in H^0(U, \Omega^1_X \otimes \log H)$, $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with the glueing condition $f_U\omega_U = g_{UV} f_V \omega_V$, $g_{UV} \in \mathcal{O}^*(U \cap V)$ such that $|g_{UV}| = 1$ and $f_U = 0$ is a suitably chosen local equation of N.

The vanishing property (8) can be rephrased as

$$\partial(f_U\omega_U) = 0 \text{ for every } U.$$

which finally gives (7), using that $T = [N]$, the integration current on N.

Note that ∂_{h^*} expresses locally as $\partial + \frac{df_U}{f_U}$ is indeed a *meromorphic logarithmic flat* connection on L.

Consider now the general case where N is only effective over $\mathbb{Q}$. By ramified covering trick and after suitable blowng-up, we obtain a kähler manifold $\hat{X}$, $\dim \hat{X} = \dim X$ equipped with a generically finite morphism $\psi : \hat{X} \to X$ such that $\psi^*(N)$ is an *integral* effective divisor and $\hat{H} = \psi^{-1}(H)$ a normal crossing hypersurface. One can then assert that $\pi^*\omega \in H^0(\hat{X}, \Omega^1_{\hat{X}}(\log\ \hat{H}) \otimes \hat{L})$. where $\hat{L} \in \operatorname{Pic} X$ is numerically equivalent to $\pi^*(N)$. Let $\nabla_{\hat{h}}$ be the logarithmic connection on $\hat{L}$ defined by a metric $\hat{h}$ whose curvature current is $-[\psi^*N]$. In other words, $\nabla_{\hat{h}}$ is the pull-back by ψ of the logaritmic connection ∇_{h^*}. By the computation performed above, we have $\nabla_{\hat{h}}\psi^*\omega = 0$. For obvious functoriality reasons, this can be restated as $\psi^*(\nabla_{h^*}\omega) = 0$, wich eventually leads to $\nabla_{h^*}\omega = 0$. □

7.4. **The case H of type (2).** For $j = 1, ...p$, let us fix a smooth metric g_j on $\mathcal{O}(H_i)$ with local weight ϕ_j. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we thus obtain a smooth metric on $\mathcal{O}(H_j)$ with local weight $\phi_{j_\varepsilon} = \operatorname{Log}(|f|^2 + \varepsilon e^{2\phi_j})$.

The $(1,1)$ positive form $\eta := \frac{i}{\pi} e^{2\varphi}\omega \wedge \overline{\omega}$ is well defined as a current on the whole $X \setminus \operatorname{Supp} H$. but failed to be integrable along the boundary divisor H. To cure this, take a smooth section Ω of $\Omega^{1,0}_X \otimes L$ (a sheaf in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ category), and consider

$$\Delta = \frac{i}{\pi} e^{2\varphi}\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}$$

which is well defined as a positive current on the whole X (making again the confusion between Ω and its writing on a trivializing chart)..

Let us compute $\overline{\partial}\Delta$ as a current. This latter decomposes as:

$$\pi\overline{\partial}\Delta = A + B$$

where

$$A = ie^{2\varphi}\,\overline{\partial}\,\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}$$

and

$$B = i((\overline{\partial}e^{2\varphi})\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega} - e^{2\varphi}\Omega \wedge \overline{\partial}\,\overline{\Omega})$$

each of the summand being a well defined current on X.

An easy calculation yields

$$i\pi\,\partial\overline{\partial}\,(\Delta) = i\partial A - 2e^{2\varphi}\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega} \wedge \partial\overline{\partial}\,\varphi - e^{2\varphi}(2\partial\varphi \wedge \Omega + d\Omega) \wedge \overline{(2\partial\varphi \wedge \Omega + d\Omega)}$$

$$+\,\overline{\partial}(e^{2\varphi}\Omega \wedge \partial\overline{\Omega} + e^{2\varphi}\partial\overline{\Omega} \wedge \partial\overline{\Omega})$$

Hence, by Stokes theorem,

$$\langle i\pi\partial\overline{\partial}\Delta\wedge\theta^{n-2},1\rangle=0=\langle T_1\wedge\theta^{n-2},1\rangle+\langle T_2\wedge\theta^{n-2},1\rangle+\langle\gamma\wedge\theta^{n-2},1\rangle \tag{9}$$

where

$$T_1=-2e^{2\varphi}\Omega\wedge\overline{\Omega}\wedge\partial\overline{\partial}\,\varphi$$

and

$$T_2=-e^{2\varphi}(2\partial\varphi\wedge\Omega+d\Omega)\wedge\overline{(2\partial\varphi\wedge\Omega+d\Omega)}$$

are both positive $(2,2)$ currents (which makes sense, according to [13]) and

$$\gamma=e^{2\varphi}\partial\overline{\Omega}\wedge\overline{\partial\overline{\Omega}}.$$

Actually, one performs the same computation as in [13].except that the final expression contains the residual term γ arising from the holomorphicity defect of Ω.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ an open cover of X such that each $U\in\mathcal{U}$ is equipped with local coordinates $(z_1,..,z_n)$ in such a way that $\omega_{|U}$ can be written as

$$\omega_{|U}=\omega_0+\sum_{j=1}^{p}g_j\frac{df_j}{f_j} \tag{10}$$

where $\{f_j=0\}$ is a local equation of H_j and f_j coincide with one of the coordinates z_k whenever $H_j\cap U\neq\emptyset$.

For $\varepsilon>0$, set

$$\omega_\varepsilon^U=\omega_0+\sum_{j=1}^{p}g_j\varphi_{j,\varepsilon}\frac{df_j}{f_j}$$

where $\varphi_{j,\varepsilon}=\frac{|f_j|^2}{|f_j|^2+\varepsilon e^{2\phi_j}}$. One can remark that for each j, the $\varphi_{j,\varepsilon}$'s glue together on overlapping charts an thus define a global smooth function of X. One can see ω_ε^U as a smooth section of $\Omega^1_X\otimes L$ which approximates ω (on U) in the following sense

(1) $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\omega_\varepsilon^U=\omega$ weakly as a current.
(2) For every $K\Subset U\setminus H$, ω_ε^U converges uniformly to ω on K when ε goes to 0.

Before mentioning other properties, we introduce the following notation:

Let T a (p,q) current defined on U with L^1_{loc} coefficient written as

$$T=\sum_{I,J}F_{I,J}dz_I\wedge d\overline{z_J}$$

in standard multiindices notation with respect to the coordinate system $(z_1,..z_n)$.

We define

$$\|T\|_K=\sup_{I,J}\|F_{I,J}\|_{L^1(K)}$$

the L^1 norm being evaluated with respect to the Lebesgue measure $d\mu=|dz_1\wedge d\overline{z_1}....dz_n\wedge d\overline{z_n}|$. Now, the key point is to notice that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0}\|\partial(\overline{\omega_\varepsilon^U})\wedge\overline{\partial(\overline{\omega_\varepsilon^U})}\|_K<+\infty \tag{11}$$

for every $K \Subset U$.

Indeed, the choice of $\varphi_{i,\varepsilon}$ allows us to "get rid" of the non-integrable terms $\frac{dz_i}{z_i} \wedge \frac{d\overline{z_i}}{\overline{z_i}}$ with could appear after passing to the limit.

Moreover,$\partial\overline{\omega_\varepsilon^U} \wedge \overline{\partial\overline{\omega_\varepsilon}}$ converges uniformly to 0 on every $K \Subset U \setminus H$.

Let (ψ_U) be a partition of unity subordinate to the open cover $\mathcal{U}$. Set

$$\Omega_\varepsilon = \sum_U \psi_U \omega_\varepsilon^U.$$

Note that this defines a smooth section of $\Omega^1_X \otimes L$ whose restriction to U share the same properties than ${\omega_\varepsilon}^U$.

In particular, we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} e^{2\varphi}\partial\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon} \wedge \overline{\partial\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon}} = 0 \text{ in the weak sense} \tag{12}$$

Actually this is obvious on $K \Subset X$ (where the convergence is even uniform) and this extends to the whole X, thanks to (11) and the fact that $\varphi = -\infty$ on $U \cap H$ and is upper-semicontinuous (as a plurisubharmonic function).

In order to conclude, replace Ω by Ω_ε in (9) and $\gamma,\ T_1,\ T_2$ by the corresponding $\gamma_\varepsilon,\ T_{1\varepsilon},\ T_{2\varepsilon}$, keeping in mind that $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to 0}\gamma_\varepsilon = 0$, and that $T_{1\varepsilon}$ and $T_{2\varepsilon}$ are positive current wich converges on $X \setminus H$ respectively to

$$S_1 = -2e^{2\varphi}\omega \wedge \overline{\omega} \wedge \partial\overline{\partial}\,\varphi$$

and

$$S_2 = -e^{2\varphi}(2\partial\varphi \wedge \omega + d\omega) \wedge \overline{(2\partial\varphi \wedge \omega + d\omega)}$$

Passing to the limit when ε goes to 0, one can infer that $S_1 = S_2 = 0$, whence the result. □

Corollary 7.6. *Let X a compact Kähler manifold and $\omega \in H^0(\Omega^1_X(\log H) \otimes L)$ a non trivial twisted logaritmic 1 form with poles on a simple normal crossing hypersurface H. Assume that L^* is pseudo-effective. Then ω is integrable and there exists an $\mathcal{F}$ invariant positive current representing L^*, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the foliation defined by ω. In particular, the integration current $[N]$ is $\mathcal{F}$ invariant, where N is the negative part in the Zariski's divisorial decomposition of $c_1(L^*)$.*

Proof. One can assume that ω has non trivial residues along the irreducible components of H. The only remaining case to deal with is $Z \neq 0$ and among the connected components of $\mathcal{C}$, there exists at least one of type (1) with respect to a given closed positive current T representing $c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}})$. By making use of lemme 7.4, one can change T into another representing positive current T' such that H becomes type (2) with respect to T'. □

8. Pseudoeffective logarithmic conormal bundle and invariant metrics

Let $(X, \mathcal{D})$ be a pair consisting of a compact kähler manifold X equipped with a codimension 1 holomorphic distribution $\mathcal{D}$. We assume that there exists a $\mathcal{D}$-invariant hypersurface H (i.e: H is tangent to $\mathcal{D}$) such that

$$L = N^*_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)$$

is *psef*.

From the normal crossing and invariance conditions, $\mathcal{D}$ is defined by a twisted logarithmic one form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log H) \otimes L)$ with non vanishing residues along H (see for instance Lemma 3.1 in [7]).

As a consequence of corollary 7.6, $\mathcal{D}$ is **integrable** and we will call $\mathcal{F}$ the corresponding foliation.

The following definitions are borrowed from the terminology used in the study of log singular varieties, even if the setting is somewhat different.

Definition 8.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ a foliation like above. Let $H = H_1 \cup .... \cup H_p$ the decomposition of H into irreducible components.

$\mathcal{F}$ is said to be of

(1) **KLT** type if there exists p rational numbers $0 \leq a_i < 1$ such that

$$c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}}) + \sum_i a_i \{H_i\}$$

is a *pseudoeffective* class.

(2) **strict Log-canonical** type if the the condition above is not satisfied.

8.1. **Existence of special invariant transverse metrics for KLT foliations.** Here, we assume that the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is of KLT type. This means (see definition above) that $c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}}) + \sum_i \lambda_i \{H_i\}$ is *pseudoeffective* where the λ_i's are real numbers such that $0 \leq \lambda_i < 1$.

Definition 8.2. Set $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_p)$ and $H_\Lambda = \sum \lambda_i H_i$.

Λ will be called a **KLT datum**.

By definition of pseudoeffectiveness, there exists on $N^*_{\mathcal{F}}$ a singular metric h wich locally can be expressed as

$$h(x, v) = |v|^2 e^{-2\varphi(x) + \sum 2\lambda_i \log |fi|}$$

where φ is a locally defined *psh* function such that

$$T_\Lambda = \frac{i}{\pi} \partial\overline{\partial} \varphi$$

is a globally defined positive current representing $c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}}) + \{D\}$, the $\{f_i = 0\}$'s are local reduced equation of H_i and ω is a local defining one form of $\mathcal{F}$. On $N_{\mathcal{D}}$, the dual metric h^* can be represented by the positive $(1, 1)$ form

$$\eta_{T_\Lambda} = \frac{i}{\pi} e^{2\varphi - 2\sum_i \lambda_i \log |f_i|} \omega \wedge \overline{\omega}$$

with locally integrable coefficients, which is unique up to multiplication by a positive constant once T_Λ is has been fixed (cf [29] Remarque 1.4, Remarque 1.5).

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ the cone of closed positive current T_Λ such that $\{T_\Lambda\} = c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}}) + \{H_\Lambda\}$. We are going to establish a similar statement to that of Theorem 3.1

Theorem 8.3. *Let $\{N_\Lambda\} + Z_\Lambda$ be the Zariski decomposition of the pseudoeffective class $c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}}) + \{H_\Lambda\}$ and $T_\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$.*

Then, both T_Λ and η_{T_Λ} are **closed $\mathcal{F}$ invariant positive currents**. *Moreover, T_Λ can be chosen in such a way that*

*(1) $T_\Lambda = [N_\Lambda]$ if $Z_\Lambda = 0$ (***euclidean type***).*

(2) $T_\Lambda = [N] + \eta_{T_\Lambda}$ *if* $Z_\Lambda \neq 0$ *(***hyperbolic type***) (*η_{T_Λ} *being normalized such that* $\{\eta_{T_\Lambda}\} = Z_\Lambda$*).*

Proof. Let T_Λ in $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{F}$. The closed positive current $S = T_\Lambda + \sum_i (1-\lambda_i)[H_i]$ represents $c_1(N^*_\mathcal{F}) + \{H\}$. As a by-product of theorem 5, we obtain that

$$(2\partial\varphi - 2\sum \lambda_i \frac{df_i}{f_i}) \wedge \omega = -d\omega = 0$$

which implies the closedness and $\mathcal{F}$ invariance of T_Λ and η_{T_Λ}.

The remainder follows the same line of argumentation as in [29] (which corresponds to $\Lambda = 0$). From $\mathcal{F}$-invariance of T_Λ, one can deduce that $[N_\Lambda]$ is also $\mathcal{F}$-invariant and that the same holds for any closed positive current representing Z_Λ. Note that the theorem is proved when $Z_\Lambda = 0$.

We investigate now the nature of the singularities of the foliations. Outside H, η_T has a local expression of the form $ie^\Psi \omega \wedge \overline{\omega}$ with Ψ *psh* and thus admits local *elementary first integrals* (Théorème 2 of [29]). Near a point on H, the same holds replacing η_T by $\pi^*\eta_T$ where π is a suitable local branched covering over H (we use that $\lambda_i < 1$) and one can then again conclude that $\mathcal{F}$ admits a local elementary first integral (this property is clearly invariant under ramified covering).

By proposition 2.6, $\{\eta_{T_\Lambda}\}\{W\} = 0$ for any closed $\mathcal{F}$ invariant positive current W. In particular, this holds whenever $W = \eta_{T_\lambda}$ or $\{W\} = Z_\Lambda$. By Hodge's signature theorem, one can then infer that Z_Λ is a multiple of $\{\eta_{T_\Lambda}\}$ and after normalization, that $\{\eta_{T_\Lambda}\} = Z_\Lambda$ whenever $Z_\Lambda \neq 0$. In this case, we have

$$\{T_\Lambda\} = \{N_\Lambda\} + \{\eta_{T_\Lambda}\}.$$

From now on, we assume that $Z_\Lambda \neq 0$. By the normalization process above, η_T is then uniquely determined by the datum of $T_\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{F}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{Z_\Lambda}$ the cone of closed positive currents T such that $\{T\} = Z_\Lambda$.

By Banach-Alaoglu's theorem, $\mathcal{C}_{Z_\Lambda}$ is compact with respect to the weak topology on current.

From 8.1, one inherits a map

$$\beta : \mathcal{C}_{Z_\Lambda} \to \mathcal{C}_{Z_\Lambda}$$

defined by $\beta(T) = \eta_{T+[N_\Lambda]}$. Remark that the point (2) ot the theorem is equivalent to the existence of a fix point for β. This fix point will be produced by the Leray-Schauder-Tychonoff's theorem once we have proved that β is *continuous*. This can be done following the same approach than [29](Démonstration du lemme 3.1, p.371). We briefly recall the idea (see *loc.cit* for details): Let $(T_n) \in {\mathcal{C}_{Z_\Lambda}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ converging to T such that the sequence $\beta(T_n)$ is convergent. On has to check that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \beta(T_n) = \beta(T).$$

Locally, one can write $T_n = \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\,\varphi_n$ and $\beta(T_n) = \frac{i}{\pi} e^{2\varphi_n} \frac{\omega\wedge\overline{\omega}}{\prod_i |f_i|^{2\lambda_i}}$. By plurisubharmonicity, one can suppose, up extracting a subsequence, that (φ_n) is uniformly bounded from above on compact sets and converges in L^1_{loc} to a *psh* function φ wich necessarily satisfies

$$\frac{i}{\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\,\varphi = T.$$

By Lebesgue's dominated convergence, one obtains that $e^{2\varphi_n}\frac{\omega\wedge\overline{\omega}}{\prod_i |f_i|^{2\lambda_i}}$ converges in L^1_{loc} to $e^{2\varphi}\frac{\omega\wedge\overline{\omega}}{\prod_i |f_i|^{2\lambda_i}}$. This obviously implies that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\beta(T_n)=\beta(T)$$

. □

The main informations provided by the proof above are:

- The $\mathcal{F}$-invariant positive current η_{T_Λ} represents the positive part Z_Λ when this latter is non 0.
- For every $\mathcal{F}$-invariant positive current S, one has $\{\eta_{T_\Lambda}\}\{S\}=0$, in particular $\{\eta_{T_\Lambda}\}^2=0$.

This is enought to get an analogous statement to that of [29], Proposition 2.14 and Corollaire 2.15.

Proposition 8.4. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ a KLT foliation with KLT datum $\Lambda=(\lambda_1,...,\lambda_p)$. Let Ξ a $(1,1)$ positive current $\mathcal{F}$-invariant (for instance, $\Xi=T_\Lambda$). Let's consider the Zariski's decomposition.*

$$\alpha=\{N(\alpha)\}+Z(\alpha)$$

with $\alpha=\{\Xi\}$ (e.g: $\alpha=c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}})+\{H_\Lambda\}$).
Then the following properties hold:

a) the components D_i of the negative part $N(\alpha)$ are hypersurfaces invariant by the foliation; in particular, $Z(\alpha)$ can be represented by an $\mathcal{F}$ invariant closed positive current.
b) $Z(\alpha)$ is a multiple of $\{\eta_T\}$.
c) $Z(\alpha)$ is nef and $Z(\alpha)^2=0$.
d) The decomposition is orthogonal: $\{N(\alpha)\}Z(\alpha)=0$. More precisely, for every component D_i of $N(\alpha)$, one has $\{D_i\}Z(\alpha)=0$.
e) In $H^{1,1}(M,\mathbb{R})$, the $\mathbb{R}$ vector space spanned by the components $\{D_i\}$ of $\{N(\alpha)\}$ intersects the real line spanned by $\{\eta_T\}$ only at the origin.
f) The decomosition is rational if X is projective and α is a rational class (e.g: $\alpha=c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}})+\{H_\Lambda\}$).
g) Every $(1,1)$ closed positive current wich represents α is necessarily $\mathcal{F}$-invariant.
h) let A be a hypersurface invariant by the foliation and $A_1,...,A_r$ its irreducible components; the family $\{A_1,...,A_r\}$ is exceptional if and only if the matrix $(m_{ij})=(\{A_i\}\{A_j\}\{\theta\}^{n-2})$ is negative, where θ is a Kähler form on X.

Proof. This is essentially a consequence of the Hodge's signature theorem (see,[29] for the details). □

In this setting one can introduce, exactly on the same way as [29] Définition 5.2 (see also section 3), the locally constant sheaves $\mathcal{I}^\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{I}^\varepsilon_{d\log}$ attached to the transverse metric structure given by theorem 8.3. Strictly speaking, those sheaves are only defined on the complement of $H\cup\operatorname{Supp} N_\Lambda$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ the set of prime divisor of X. To each $P\in\mathcal{P}$, one can associate non negative numbers λ_D , ν_D defined by the decompositions

$$N_\Lambda=\sum_{D\in\mathcal{P}}\nu_D D.$$

$$H_\Lambda = \sum_{D\in\mathcal{P}} \lambda_D D.$$

Following again exactly the same lines of argumentation of [29] p.381-384, one can establish the following proposition similar to proposition 5.1 and proposition 5.2 of *loc.cit*:

Proposition 8.5. *Let $K_1, ..., K_r$ be a finite family of irreducible hypersurfaces invariant by $\mathcal{F}$. Set $K = K_1 \cup K_2 ... \cup K_r$. Then there exist on a sufficiently small connected neighborhood of $K \cup Sing\ (\mathcal{F})$ a uniquely defined section η_K of $\mathcal{I}^{\varepsilon}_{d\log}$ such that the polar locus $(\eta_K)_\infty$ contains $K \cup Sing\ (\mathcal{F})$ with the following additional properties:*

1. *For every $i = 1, ..., r$, the residue of η_K along K_i is equal to $1 + \nu_{K_i} - \lambda_{K_i}$ and equal to 1 on the other components of $(\eta_K)_\infty$. In particular the residues of η_K are* **non-negative** *real numbers.*
2. *If K is connected, $\{K_1, ..., K_r\}$ is an exceptional family if and only if the germ of $(\eta_K)_\infty$ along K does not coincide with K.*

Remark 8.6. As the foliation only admits singularities of elementary type, the set of separatrices at any point $x \in K \cup \mathrm{Sing}\ \mathcal{F}$ coincide locally with the poles of η_K (see [29], Remarque 4.1).

8.2. **Existence of special invariant transverse metrics for strict Log-Canonical foliations.** As usual, $\{N\} + Z$ will denote the Zariski's divisorial decomposition of $c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}}) + \{H\}$.

8.2.1. *The case Z=0.* In this situation, $c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)$ is represented by a unique positive current, namely $T = [N]$.

Let H_b the union of non exceptional components (see lemma 7.2). H_b will be called the **boundary part** of H. Note that H_b is non empty, otherwise the foliation would be a KLT one. On $X \setminus H_b$, $\mathcal{F}$ admits an invariant transverse metric η. The semi-positive $(1,1)$ form η involved in the statement of theorem 5 is well defined as a current on $X \setminus H_b$. Indeed, it can be expressed locally as

$$\eta = \frac{i}{\pi} e^{2\psi} \frac{\tilde{\omega} \wedge \overline{\tilde{\omega}}}{|F|^2 \prod_i |f_i|^{2\mu_i}}$$

where the $f_i = 0$'s are defining equations of the components H_i of H contained in Supp N , with $0 \leq \mu_i < 1$, $F = 0$ is a defining equation of H_b, ψ is a plurisubharmonic function such that $\frac{i}{\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\,\psi = [N] - \sum_i \mu_i [H_i]$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ is a local generator of $N^*_{\mathcal{F}}$ (i.e: a local defining holomorphic 1 form of $\mathcal{F}$ without zeroes divisor). On a neighborhood V_x of a point $x \in H_b$ where $z_1, ..z_{n_x} = 0$ is a local equation of H_b, the closedness property (7) shows that the foliation is defined by a closed logarithmic form of the form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n_x} \alpha_i \frac{dz_i}{z_i}$$

and that

$$\eta = \frac{i}{\pi} \omega \wedge \overline{\omega}. \tag{13}$$

(for suitable choice of z_i's) where the α_i's are non zero complex numbers.

Because of this local expression, one can observe that Sing $\mathcal{F}_b := \text{Sing } \mathcal{F} \setminus H_b$ is a *compact* analytic subset of $X \setminus H_b$. Contrarily to the KLT case, this current η does not extend to X for the simple reason that it can not be extended through H_b.

The archetypal example is provided by logarithmic foliations on the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$, that is foliations defined by a logarithmic form ω. Such a form is automatically closed whenever the polar locus $(\omega)_\infty$ is normal crossing and thus induces the euclidean transverse metric $\eta = \frac{i}{\pi}\omega \wedge \overline{\omega}$, only defined outside the polar locus. In this example, it is also worh noticing that H_b is ample, a phenomenon wich does not occur for KLT foliations: in this situation, the class $\{D\}$ of any divisor whose support is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant has numerical dimenson at most 1, thanks to proposition 8.4. Another important difference lies in the fact that the number of $\mathcal{F}$-invariant hypersurfaces is arbitrarily hight (compare with proposition 9.8).

Coming back to our setting, η defined an euclidean transverse structure to wich one can associate as before a locally constant sheaf of distinguished first integrals $\mathcal{I}^0$ and the corresponding sheaf of logarithmic differentials $\mathcal{I}^0_{d\log}$. These latters are defined a priori on the complement of Supp $N \cup H$. Near a point $x \in H_b$, the foliation admits as first integral the multivaluate section $\sum_i \alpha_i \log z_i$ of $\mathcal{I}^0$ whereas these multivaluate sections take the form of elementary first integral $\prod f_i^{\lambda_i}$, $\lambda_i > 0$ at a neighborhood of $x \in \text{Supp } N$.

Thanks to this euclidean structure, one can easily exhibit a section η_K of $\mathcal{I}^0$ on a neighborhood of $K \cup \text{Sing } \mathcal{F}_b$ where $K = \text{Supp } N$ whose polar locus $(\eta_K)_\infty$ contains Supp N.

Lemma 8.7. *$(\eta_K)_\infty$ does not coincide with K along K.*

Proof. Suppose that equality holds. Then

$$\Big(\sum_i \lambda_i \{N_i\}\Big)^2 = 0$$

where the N_i's stand for the irreducible components of Supp N and $\lambda_i > 0$ is the residue of η_N along N_i. Moreover, $F = |e^{\int \eta_N}|$ is a function defined near Supp N, constant on the leaves and such that the family $\{F < \varepsilon\}$ forms a basis of neighborhoods of Supp N.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and and $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ continuous non identically zero such that ψ vanishes outside $(0, \varepsilon)$. Set $g = \psi \circ F$ (this makes sense is ε is chosen small enought). This guarantees that $g\eta$ is an $\mathcal{F}$ invariant closed positive current S such that $\{S\}\{N_i\} = \{S\}^2 = 0$, thanks to remark 2.7. By Hodge's signature theorem, $\sum_i \lambda_i \{N_i\}$ is proportional to $\{S\}$. This latter being a *nef* class (S has no positive Lelong numbers), we get the sought contradiction. □

Definition 8.8. Let V an open neighborhood of H_b; an hermitian metric defined on $V \setminus H_b$ is said to be *complete at infinity* if there exists an open neighborhood U of H_b, $U \Subset V$ such that for every maximal geodesic arc $\gamma : [0, a) \to V$,

- either $a = +\infty$,
- either there exists $0 < t < a$ with $\gamma(t) \in V \setminus U$.

Lemma 8.9. *There exists a bundle like metric on $X \setminus (H_b \cup \text{Supp } N \cup \text{Sing } \mathcal{F})$ inducing the invariant transverse metric η wich is complete at infinity.*

Proof. Keeping the notation above, for $x \in H_b$, one put on V_x the metric with poles $g_x = i\sum_{l=1}^{n_x} \frac{dz_l}{z_l} \wedge \frac{d\overline{z_l}}{\overline{z_l}} + h_x$ where h_x is a smooth hermitian metric on V_x. Let h a smooth hermitian metric on $X \setminus H_b$ which is the restriction of an hermitian metric on X.. By partition of unity subordinate to the cover of X determined by $X \setminus H_b$ and the collection of V_x, these models glue together in a complete hermitian metric defined on $X \setminus H_b$. Thanks to the expression of η given in (13), this latter is conformally equivalent on $X \setminus (H_b \cup \text{Supp } N \cup \text{Sing } \mathcal{F})$ to a metric g fulfilling the conclusion of the lemma.

□

8.2.2. *The case $Z \neq 0$.* . As we shall see later, this situation is described by the theorem 2 of the introduction. We first collect some observations useful in the sequel.

Lemma 8.10. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ a connected component of H which is not contained in Supp N. and let $\mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_r$ be its irreducible components.*

Then, the intersection form $\{\mathcal{C}_i\}\{\mathcal{C}_j\}\{\theta\}^{n-2}$ is negative definite and in particular $\{\mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_r\}$ forms an exceptional family.

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that the intersection matrix is not negative.Then, thanks to remark 7.2 and Hodge's signature theorem, one can exhibit r non-negative real numbers $\mu_1, ..., \mu_r$ with at least one positive, such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i\{\mathcal{C}_i\}$ is colinear to Z.

In particular, one can represents Z by the integration current $\lambda[D]$, where $\lambda > 0$ and $D = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i\mathcal{C}_i$. With the help of lemma 7.4, this implies that for any other connected component $\mathcal{C}^{'}$ of H not supported on Supp N, one can extract an effective divisor D' supported exactly on $\mathcal{C}^{'}$ such that $\{D^{'}\}$ and Z are colinear. Then $\mathcal{F}$ turns out to be a KLT foliation: a contradiction. □

Like the previous situation, we will denote by H_b the union of these connected components and will call it the *boundary component.*

Lemma 8.11. *Let T a closed positive current representing Z. Then T has no Lelong numbers along H_b and the local psh potentials of T are necessarily equal to $-\infty$ on H.*

Proof. The fact that the local potentials are $-\infty$ on H_b is a consequence of lemma 7.4 together with lemma 8.10. In particular, T is necessarily invariant according to theorem 5. Let T be a positive closed current, $\{T\} = Z$. Assume by contradiction that T has some non vanishing belong numbers on a connected component $\mathcal{C}$ of H_b. Let $\mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_r$ the irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}$, and λ_i the Lelong number of T along $\mathcal{C}_i$. We first claim that there doesn't exist two indices $i \neq j$ such that $\lambda_i = 0$, $\lambda_j \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_j \neq 0$. Otherwise this would imply that $Z\{\mathcal{C}_i\}\{\theta^{n-2}\} > 0$, a contradiction with lemma 7.2. One can then easily deduce that $\lambda_i \neq 0$ for each i. Let

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mu_i[K_i] + R$$

the Siu's decomposition of T.

Remark, that from the $\mathcal{F}$- invariance of T, one can infer that each hypersurface K_i is also $\mathcal{F}$ invariant whenever $\mu_i \neq 0$ and that the same holds for the residual part R.

By virtue of theorem 5, $\mathcal{F}$ admits in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{C}$ an invariant current $\eta = \eta_T$ wich can be locally written

$$\eta = \frac{i}{\pi} e^{2\psi} \frac{\omega \wedge \overline{\omega}}{\prod_j |f_j|^{2\alpha_j}}$$

with $f_j = 0$ a reduced equation of $\mathcal{C}_j$, ω a defining form of $\mathcal{F}$, $0 \leq \alpha_j < 1$ and ψ a *psh* function.

This implies (see the proof of theorem 8.3) that the singularties of the foliation near $\mathcal{C}$ are of elementary type, more precisely of the form

$F = u {f_1}^{\beta_1} ... {f_r}^{\beta_r}$

where u is some unit and the β_i's are non negative real numbers. Suppose that for some i, $K_i \nsubseteq \mathcal{C}$. By remark 4.1 of [29], $K_i \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$ and in particular $\{K_i\}\{\mathcal{C}_j\} = 0$ for every j. Moreover, $\{R\}\{\mathcal{C}_j\} = 0$ according to remark 2.7. hence, the equality

$$Z\{C_j\} = 0$$

can be reformulated as

$$(\sum_i \lambda_i \{\mathcal{C}_i\})\{\mathcal{C}_j\} = 0$$

wich contradicts lemma 8.10.

□

By theorem 5, $\eta = \eta_T$ is a well defined as a closed positive current on $X \setminus H_b$ but a priori not extend through H_b as a current. We will actually show that this extension really holds but this requires further analysis and the proof is postponed to the last section. Moreover, we will explain how we can ensure that the invariant transverse metric associated to η is hyperbolic, a key property to reach the conclusion of theorem 2.

9. Settling the case of KLT foliations

In this section, we aim at discussing abundance properties of the logarithmic conormal bundle $N^*_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)$ for KLT foliations (defined in the previous chapter). The ambient manifold is again assumed to be *Kähler compact*.

Here, $\mathrm{Kod}(L)$ stands for the *Kodaira dimension* of a line bundle L and $\nu(L)$ for its *numerical Kodaira dimension*.

One firstly recall the statement of Bogomolov's theorem (see [7] and references therein).

Proposition 9.1. *Let X a compact Kähler manifold X and $H \subset X$ a normal crossing hypersurface. Assume that for some $L \in Pic(X)$, there exists a non trivial twisted logarithmic form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log H) \otimes L)$. Then $Kod(L^*) \leq 1$; moreover, when equality holds, ω is integrable and the corresponding foliation is a meromorphic fibration.*

Corollary 9.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ a codimension 1 foliation on a compact Kähler manifold X. Let H a normal crossing hypersurface invariant by $\mathcal{F}$. Then $Kod(N^*_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)) \leq 1$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is a meromorphic fibration when equality holds.*

By virtue of corollary 7.6 and item c) of proposition 8.4, these upper bound remains valid in the numerical setting:

Proposition 9.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ a codimension 1 foliation on a projective manifold X. Let H a normal crossing hypersurface invariant by $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\nu(N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)) \leq 1$, where ν stands for the numerical dimension.*

Definition 9.4. Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_p)$ a KLT datum, $A \subset X$ a prime divisor and $\mathcal{C}$ a connected component of H.

- We will denote by $m(A)$ the multiplicity of A along H_Λ: $m(A) = \lambda_i$ if $A = H_i$, $m(A) = 0$ otherwise.
- $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be exceptional if its irreducible components form an exceptional family.

Lemma 9.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ a connected component of H, then the following properties are equivalent*

- *$\mathcal{C}$ is exceptional.*
- *$\mathcal{C}$ is contained in Supp N.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is exceptional and suppose by contradiction that $\mathcal{C}$ is not contained in Supp N. This implies, using remark 7.1 , that $\mathcal{C} \cap H$ is empty and $L = N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)$ is trivial in restriction to each irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}$. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by a logarithmic form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega(\log H) \otimes L)$ whose residues along the irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}$ are nowhere vanishing. Pick a point $x \in \mathcal{C}$ with meets n_p branches of $\mathcal{C}$ and such that $\eta_{\mathcal{C}_\infty}$ has extra components (not supported in $\mathcal{C}$) at p (this is made possible by proposition 8.5) and considered a local holomorphic coordinate patch $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$, $z(x) = 0$ such the equation of $\mathcal{C}$ is given by $z_1...z_{n_x} = 0$ and such that the logarithmic form $\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$ is expressed near x as

$$\eta_{\mathcal{C}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_x} \alpha_i \frac{dz_i}{z_i} + \frac{df}{f}$$

where $f = 0$ is a reduced equation of the additional poles and the α_i's are *positive* real numbers. On the other hand,

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_x} g_i \frac{dz_i}{z_i}$$

in a trivializing neighborhood of p where ω_0 is an holomorphic 1 form and the g_i's are units. As ω and $\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$ define the same foliation, there exists a meromorphic function U such that $U\eta_{\mathcal{C}} = \omega$. One can then easily check that $U = fV$ with V holomorphic and this forces the residue g_i to vanish on $\{z_i = 0\} \cap \{f = 0\}$: a contradiction. The converse implication is obvious. □

9.1. **The case $Z \neq 0$.** .

Let $\{N\} + Z$ be the Zariski decomposition of $c_1(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) + \{H\}$ and assume that $Z \neq 0$.

Fix an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider an arbitrary non exceptional component $\mathcal{C}$ of H. Up renumerotation of indices, one can write $\mathcal{C} = H_1 \cup ... \cup H_l$, $l \leq p$. Keeping trak of proposition 8.4, one can extract from $\mathcal{C}$, using Hodge's signature theorem, a $\mathbb{Q}$ effective divisor $D = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_i H_i$ with the following properties

(1) For every $1 \leq i \leq l$, one has $0 < \lambda_i < 1$.

(2) there exists a positive integer p such that $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{p}$.
(3) there exists a real number λ, $0 < \lambda < \varepsilon$ such that $\{D\} = \lambda Z$.

Combining these observations with lemma 9.5, one obtains the following statement:

Proposition 9.6. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a KLT foliation and assume that $Z \neq 0$.*
Then one can find a KLT datum Λ with enjoys the following properties:

(1) $Supp(N_\Lambda) = Supp(N)$.
(2) Z_Λ is non trivial multiple of Z.
(3) For any non exceptional connected component $\mathcal{C} \subset H$, there exists a prime divisor $H_\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}$ and a positive integer p tel que $m(H_\mathcal{C}) = 1 - \frac{1}{p}$.

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this section (wich corresponds to theorem 2 of the introduction).

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a KLT foliation on X projective. Assume moreover that $Z \neq 0$. Then,*

(1) Either $\mathcal{F}$ is tangent to the fibers of an holomorphic map $f : X \to S$ onto a Riemann surface and the abundance principle holds:

$$Kod(N_\mathcal{F}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)) = \nu(N_\mathcal{F}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)) = 1.$$

This case occurs in particular whenever there exists at least one non exceptional component $\mathcal{C} \in H$,
(2) either $\mathcal{F} = \Psi^*\mathcal{G}$ where Ψ is a morphism of analytic varieties between X and the quotient $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{D}^n/\Gamma$ of a polydisk, ($n \geq 2$) by an irreducible lattice $\Gamma \subset (Aut\ \mathbb{D})^n$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is one of the n tautological foliations on $\mathfrak{H}$.

Proof. Let Λ be a KLT datum satisfying the properties given by the previous proposition and consider the transversely hyperbolic structure attached to this latter. The strategy remains essentially the same as in the proof of theorem 1. In particular, the first step consists in relating the monodromy of the foliation inherited from the hyperbolic transverse structure with its dynamical properties. Assume firstly that each component of H is exceptional and therefore it is contained in Supp N. In this case, we do exactly the same and prove the same as the situation "$N_\mathcal{F}^*$ pseudo-effective", starting from the fibers connectedness of the developing map studied in paragraph 3.2, then establishing the same results proved in sections 5 and 6 wich finally leads to the same conclusion.

One needs to modify slightly the previous construction when there exists non exceptional components. Indeed, on such a component $\mathcal{C}$, the hyperbolic transverse metric is likely to degenerate; moreover $\mathcal{C}$ is not connected by any exterior leaf in the sense that every separatrix of $\mathcal{F}$ passing through $x \in \mathcal{C}$ is a local branch of $\mathcal{C}$ (consequence of proposition 8.5). In section 3 , one had to remove supp (N) (where the transverse metric also degenerates) to define properly the developing map ρ. However, if one looks thoroughly at the arguments developped in the same section, the fact that ρ is both complete and has "connected fibers" (in the sense of theorem 3.6) heavily relies on the existence of connecting exterior leaf in supp N. If one comes back to our current setting, the developing map is now defined on some covering of $X \setminus (\text{supp}N \cup H)$. The point is that one can extend the basis of this covering and in that way get rid of the inexistence of connecting leaves. This can be done as follows: Let $\{\mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_r\}$ the set of non exceptional components. For each $\mathcal{C}_i$, select a prime divisor $H_{\mathcal{C}_i} \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that $m(H_{\mathcal{C}_i}) = 1 - \frac{1}{p_i}$ for some positive integer

p_i. By proposition 8.5, $\mathcal{F}$ admits locally around each $H_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ and away from Sing $\mathcal{F}$ a (multivaluate) section of $\mathcal{I}^1$ of the form $f_i^{\frac{1}{p_i}}$ where $f_i = 0$ is a suitable reduced equation of $H_{\mathcal{C}_i}$. Set $\tilde{H}_i = H_{\mathcal{C}_i} \setminus \text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$ and $A = \bigcup \tilde{H}_i$ (note that Sing $\mathcal{F} \cap H_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ is precisely the union of intersection loci of $H_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ with the other components of $\mathcal{C}_i$).

Using this observation, one can construct an infinite Galoisian ramified covering

$$\pi : X_0 \to (X \setminus (H \cup \text{Supp } N)) \cup A.$$

such that $\pi^*\mathcal{I}^1$ becomes a constant sheaf and wich ramified exactly over each $H_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ with order p_i. In particular the developping map ρ (defined as a section of $\pi^*\mathcal{I}^1$) is submersive along $\pi^{-1}(A)$. This allows us to prove that ρ is complete (its image is the whole Poincaré disk) using exactly the same arguments as [29], théorème 3.

Keeping the same notations as subsection 3.2, one defines a binary relation $\mathcal{R}$ on X_0 setting $p\mathcal{R}q$ if

(1) $\mathcal{C}_p = \mathcal{C}_q$
or
(2) $\rho(p) = \rho(q)$ and there exists a connected component K of Supp N such that $(\eta_K)_\infty \cap \pi(\mathcal{C}_p) \neq \emptyset$ and $(\eta_K)_\infty \cap \pi(\mathcal{C}_q) \neq \emptyset$ near K
or
(3) There exists $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$ such $\rho(p) = \rho(q) \in H_{\mathcal{C}_i}$

We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ the equivalence relation generated by $\mathcal{R}$.
Similarly to proposition 3.2 , one can prove that the map $\overline{\rho} : X/\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ onto $\mathbb{D}$ is one to one. The notion of modified leaf remains the same as definition 4.2 except that we include now as modified leaves each non exceptional component. Taking into account this slight modificaton the statement of theorem 3 remains valid. In particular, one can conclude that $\mathcal{F}$ is a fibration provided there exists at least one non exceptional component.

Following the same line of argumentaton as in the begining of the proof of theorem 4, one can also conclude that $\text{Kod}(N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)) = 1$.

□

9.2. **The case $Z = 0$.** Here, we aim at proving the analogue of theorem 4 item 1), namely

Theorem 9.7. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a KLT foliation on X projective. Assume moreover that $Z = 0$. Then,*

$$Kod(N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)) = \nu(N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)) = 0.$$

Proof. In this situation, N is an effectve divisor over $\mathbb{Q}$. From the fact that the integration current $[N]$ is the only closed positive current wich represents the class $\{N\}$, one easily infers that H is contained in Supp N. Let N_i, $i = 1, ..., l$ the irreducible components of Supp N. One can then exhibit a KLT datum $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_p) \in \mathbb{Q}^p$ such that

$$c_1(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) + \{H_\Lambda\} = \{N_\Lambda\}$$

where $N_\Lambda \leq N$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ effective. This KLT datum induces a transverse euclidean metric in the sense of theorem 8.3. Let $G \subset \Im(\mathbb{C})$ the monodromy group attached to this tranverse structure (recall that is defined as the image of the representation $r : \pi_1(X \setminus \text{Supp } N) \to \Im(\mathbb{C})$) associated to the locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{I}^0$. One proceed on the same way that the proof of theorem 4 ($\varepsilon = 0$): When the generic

leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is compact, the linear part of G is finite and abundance holds for $N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)$. Otherwise, by performing a suitable covering ramified over Supp N, one can suppose, after desingularization, that the foliation is given by an *holomorphic* section of $\Omega_X^1 \otimes L$ (thanks to the fact that $N_\lambda - H_\lambda = \sum_i \mu_i N_i$ where each μ_i is a rational > -1) where L is a flat line bundle such that Kod $(N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)) = 0$ whenever L is torsion. This actually holds for exactly the same reasons that *loc.cit.* □

Note also that the statement of corollary 4.8 remains valid:

Proposition 9.8. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ a KLT foliation on a compact kähler manifold X. Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is not a fibration, then the family of irreducible hypersurfaces invariant by $\mathcal{F}$ is exceptional and thus has cardinal bounded from above by the Picard number $\rho(X)$.*

10. Dealing with strict Log-Canonical foliations

10.1. **The case $Z = 0$.** .

The next result shows that the abundance principle holds true for this class of foliations.

Theorem 7. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ a strict log-canonical foliation on a projective manifold X. Assume moreover that $Z = 0$. Then the Kodaira dimension of $N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)$ is equal to zero.*

Proof. We will make use of informations collected in paragraph 8.2.1. We will also closely follow the presentation made in [10] as well as some results of *loc.cit* (especially paragraph 3.2, section 4 and references therein). From the existence of the euclidean transverse structure (namely, the locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{I}^0$), one inherits a representation

$$\varphi : \Gamma \to \Im(\mathbb{C})$$

setting $\Gamma = \pi_1(X \setminus (\text{Supp } N \cup H))$.

If γ belongs to Γ, then we can write $\varphi(\gamma)(z) = \varphi_L(\gamma) + \tau(\gamma)$ where

$$\varphi_L : \Gamma \to S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^* = GL(1, \mathbb{C})$$

is a homomorphism and

$$\tau : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$$

is a 1-cocycle with values in $\mathbb{C}_{\varphi_L}$, that is $\mathbb{C}$ with its structure of Γ module induced by the linear representation φ_L.

As usual, we will call the image G of φ the monodromy group of the foliation. Clearly, abundance holds whenever the linear part $G_L = \text{Im}(\varphi_L)$ of G is finite.

As previously, one can defined a developping map ρ attached to $\mathcal{I}^0$, that is ρ is a section of $\pi^*\mathcal{I}^0$ where $\pi : X_0 \to X \setminus (\text{Supp } N \cup H))$ is a suitable Galoisian covering.

One can then define the same equivalence relation $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ as in section 3.2 and conclude similarly that the induced map

$$\overline{\rho} : X_0/\overline{\mathcal{R}} \to \mathbb{C}$$

is one to one and onto. Actually the proof proceeds on the same way, using lemma 8.7 and replacing compactness of X by the existence of a complete bundle-like metric, as stated by lemma 8.9.

Like in section 4, this enables us to claim that G faithfully encodes the dynamic of $\mathcal{F}$ and in particular that G_L is finite whenever the topological closure of leaves are hypersurfaces of X.

Henceforth, we proceed by contradiction assuming $|G_L| = +\infty$. One can notice in addition that small loops around the components of H_b give rise to infinite additive monodromy. In other words, the subgroup of translations of G is also infinite. In particular, G has no fixed points. At the cohomological level, this means that

$$H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}_{\varphi_L}) \neq 0.$$

From a result directly borrowed from [10] (Theorem 4.1), one can deduce that there exists a morphism f of $X \setminus (\text{Supp } N \cup H))$ onto an orbifold curve C and a representation φ_C of the orbifold fundamental group of C in $\Im(\mathbb{C})$ such that the following diagram commutes



Up performing some suitable blowing-up at infinity, one can assume that f extends to an holomorphic fibration

$$\tilde{f} : X \to \overline{C}.$$

Looking at the diagram above, one can observe that the sheaf $\mathcal{I}^0$ extends through the neighborhood of a generic fiber $\tilde{F}$ as a constant sheaf. In particular, the foliation admits an *holomorphic* first integral on such a neighborhood. This latter is necessarily constant on $\tilde{F}$ by compactness of the fibers. Hence, one obtains that $\mathcal{F}$ is tangent to the fibration, whence the contradiction. □

10.2. **The case $Z \neq 0$.** This last possible situation requires some little bit more involved analysis.

Let $\mathcal{C} \subset H_b$ a boundary connected component and $D_1, ..., D_l$ its irreducible component admitting repectively the reduced equations $f_1 = 0, .., f_l = 0$.

On a neighborhood of D_k the foliation is represented by a twisted one form ω^k valued in a line bundle trivial on D_k, wich locally expresses as

$$\omega^k = \omega_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_i \frac{df_i}{f_i}$$

where ω_0 is holomorphic and for each i, λ_i is a non vanishing holomorphic functions on $f_i = 0$.

For notational convenience, we will assume that $D_k \cap D_j$ is connected (maybe empty) for every k, l. Note that the quotient of residues $\lambda_{kj} = \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_j}$ on the non empty intersections $D_k \cap D_j$ is intrinsically defined as a non zero complex number and also that $\lambda_{lk} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{kl}}$ .Setting $\lambda_{kl} = 0$ when $D_k \cap D_l = \emptyset$, this leads to the following intersection property:

$$\text{For every } k,\ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \lambda_{kj} D_j.D_k = 0.$$

Lemma 10.1. *Let $k \in \{1,...,l\}$. Then, there exists $p \geq 2$ integers $\{i_1,...,i_p\} \in \{1,...,l\}$ such that*

(1) $k = i_1 = i_p$
(2) $D_{i_j} \cap D_{i_{j-1}} \neq \emptyset$ for every $2 \leq j \leq p$.
(3) $\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \lambda_{i_j i_{j+1}} \neq 1$.

Proof. Assume the contrary. This means that there exists l non zero complex numbers λ_1, λ_l such that $\lambda_{ij} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$ as soon as $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$. Hence, for every j, we obtain that

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_i \{D_i\})\{D_j\} = 0$$

which contradicts the negativity of the intersection matrix $\{D_i\}\{D_j\}\{\theta^{n-2}\}$. □

10.2.1. *Reduction to dimension 2.* We begin by the following local statement.

Proposition 10.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ a foliation defined on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ by some meromorphic form $\omega = \omega_0 + \lambda_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + \lambda_2 \frac{dz_2}{z_2}$, with ω_0 holomorphic, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then there exists a germ of submersive holomorphic map $u = (u_1, u_2)$ of $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ onto $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ such that $\mathcal{F} = u^*\mathcal{F}'$ where $\mathcal{F}'$ is a foliation on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ defined by $\omega' = \omega_0{}' + \lambda_1 \frac{du_1}{u_1} + \lambda_2 \frac{du_2}{u_2}$. Moreover, there exists units v_i such that $u_i = z_i v_i$.*

Proof. Suppose that $n > 2$. Consider the holomorphic 1 form $\Omega = z_1 z_2 \omega = z_1 z_2 \omega_0 + \lambda_1 z_2 dz_1 + \lambda_2 z_1 dz_2$. Note that the vanishing locus Sing (Ω) of Ω is precisely the codimension 2 analytic subset $\{z_1 = 0\} \cap \{z_2 = 0\}$. One can write $\omega_0 = \sum_i a_i dz_i$ where the a_i's are holomorphic functions and remark that $Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} - \frac{z_1 a_n}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$ is a non vanishing vector field belonging to the kernel of Ω and tangent to Sing (Ω). Consequently, there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ preserving the axis $\{z_1 = 0\}$ and $\{z_2 = 0\}$ such that $\phi_* Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$. One can thus assume that $Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$. Keeping in mind that Ω is Frobenius integrable $(\Omega \wedge d\Omega = 0)$, this easily implies, up multiplying by a suitable unit, that Ω does not depend on the z_n variable. We then obtain the result by induction on n. □

Pick a point $x \in \mathcal{C}$ contained in the pure codimension 2 locus of Sing $\mathcal{F}$. i.e: x belongs to some intersection $D_i \cap D_j$ and $x \notin D_k$ for each $k \notin \{i, j\}$.

Following the previous proposition, in a suitable local coordinates system $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$, $z(x) = 0$, $D_i = \{z_1 = 0\}$, $D_j = \{z_2 = 0\}$ the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by an holomorphic one form only depending of the (z_1, z_2) variable

$$\Omega = \lambda_1 z_2 dz_1 + \lambda_2 z_1 dz_2 + z_2 z_1 \omega_0$$

with λ_1, λ_2 two non zero complex numbers whose quotient $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$ is precisely λ_{ij} and ω_0 an holomorphic one form.

Thanks to theorem 5 and 8.2.2, $\mathcal{F}$ admits near p two invariant currents T and η (recall that this latter is a priori only defined in the complement of $D_i \cup D_j = \{z_1 z_2 = 0\}$).

It is worth keeping in mind that the local potentials of T are automatically equal to $-\infty$ on $D_i \cup D_j$ despite the fact that T has no non vanishing Lelong numbers along $D_i \cup D_j$.

We are therefore reduced to study a germ foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ defined by a logarithmic form

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \lambda_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + \lambda_2 \frac{dz_2}{z_2}$$

with non vanishing residues λ_1, λ_2 such that there exists in addition a *psh* function ψ satisfying the following properties:

(1) $T = \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\psi$ is an $\mathcal{F}$ invariant current. Moreover $\psi = -\infty$ on the axis $z_1 z_2 = 0$ but T has no atomic part (i.e:Lelong numbers) along $\{z_1 z_2 = 0\}$.
(2) $\eta = \frac{i}{\pi} e^{2\psi} \omega \wedge \overline{\omega}$ is an $\mathcal{F}$ invariant current in the complement of $\{z_1 z_2 = 0\}$.

Lemma 10.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ a germ a foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ satisfying the above properties. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is linearizable; more precisely, there exists a germ a biholomorphism $\Phi \in Diff(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ preserving each axis such that $\Phi^*\mathcal{F}$ is defined by the form $\lambda_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + \lambda_2 \frac{dz_2}{z_2}$. Moreover, $\lambda_{ij} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$ is* **positive real number**.

Proof. Putting the two properties mentioned in (1) together, one can infer that T is a positive current without atomic part on the separatrices $\{z_1 z_2 = 0\}$ which is non zero on every neighborhood of x. According to [6], this implies that $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda 2}$ is a real number. One has to discuss the following cases wich might occur and wich depend on the value of $\lambda = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$.

- $\lambda < 0$. One knows (see [4]) that $\mathcal{F}$ is linearizable; indeed, either $-\lambda \notin \mathbb{N}^* \cup \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}^*}$ and then belongs to the Poincaré domain, either the foliation singularity admits a Poincaré Dulac's normal form which is linearizable thanks to the existence of two separatrices. In particular, one can assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by $\omega = \lambda_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + \lambda_2 \frac{dz_2}{z_2}$, a closed logarithmic 1 form. By assumptions, there exists ψ *psh* such that $\eta = \frac{i}{\pi} e^{2\psi} \omega \wedge \overline{\omega}$ is closed as a current. This easily implies that ψ is actually constant on the leaves.

  Note also that $F = z_1 {z_2}^{\lambda}$ is a multivaluate first integral of $\mathcal{F}$ with connected fibers on $U \setminus \{z_2 = 0\}$ where U is a suitable neighborhood of the origine and that $F(U \setminus \{z_2 = 0\} = \mathbb{C}$ (thanks to $\lambda < 0$). Therefore, there exists a subharmonic function $\tilde{\psi}$ defined on $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\tilde{\psi} \circ F = \psi$. Keeping in mind that a bounded subharmonic function on $\mathbb{C}$ is actually constant and applying this principle to $e^{\tilde{\psi}}$, one obtains that ψ is constant, wich obviously contadicts property (1).
- $\lambda > 0$. The linearizability of the foliation is equivalent to that of the germ of holonomy diffeomorphism

$$h(z) = e^{2i\pi\lambda} z + \ hot, \ \lambda = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$$

  evaluated on a tranversal $\mathcal{T} = (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ of $\{z_1 = 0\}$ (see [19]). This one does not hold automatically and is related to diophantine property of λ (Brujno's conditions).

  Assume that h is non linearizable and let $\mathbb{D}_r = \{|z| < r\}$, $r > 0$ small enought. We want to show that this leads to a contradiction with the existence of the invariant current η. Following Perez-Marco ([24]), there

exists a totally invariant compact subset K_r with empty interior (the so-called hedgehog) which verifies the following properties:

(1) K_r is totally invariant by h: $h(K_r) = K_r$ and is maximal with respect to this property.
(2) $K_r \cap \partial\mathbb{D}_r \neq \emptyset$.

Let $0 < r < r'$ for which the above properties hold.

The following argument is adapted from ([5], preuve du lemme 11, p.590) .

Let γ be a rectifiable curve contained in $\mathcal{T} \setminus \{0\}$. its length with respect to the metric form $g = e^{\varphi}\frac{|dz|}{|z|}$ is given by

$$l_g(\gamma) = \int_\gamma \frac{e^{\varphi(z)}}{|z|} d\mathcal{H}$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the dimension 1 Hausdorff measure.

Because that η is invariant by the foliation, one gets

$$l_g(\gamma) = l_g(h \circ \gamma).$$

Using the existence and properties of K_r, $K_{r'}$ stated above, one can easily produce a sequence of rectifiable curves

$$\gamma_n : [0,1] \to \{r \leq |z| \leq r'\}$$

with $|\gamma_n(0)| = r, |\gamma_n(1)| = r'$ and such that $l_g(\gamma_n)$ converges to 0. Up extracting a subsequence, γ_n converge, with respect to the Hausdorff distance, to a compact connected subset K not reduced to a point contained in a polar subset, which provides, like in [5], *loc.cit* the sought contradiction.

□

Remark 10.4. We have shown that every singularity of $\mathcal{F}$ is actually elementary.

10.2.2. *Dulac's transform and extension of the positive current η_T extends through the boundary components.* Let $\mathcal{F}$ a foliation given on a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ by the 1 logarithmic form

$$\omega = \lambda_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + \lambda_2 \frac{dz_2}{z_2},\ \lambda_1\lambda_2 > 0 \text{ (Siegel domain)}$$

Let T_1 be the transversal $\{z_2 = 1\}$ and T_2 the transversal $\{z_1 = 1\}$. The foliation induces a multivaluate holonomy map, the *Dulac's transform*, defined as

$$\begin{array}{rc} d_\lambda : & T_1 \to T_2 \\ & z_1 \to z_2 = {z_1}^\lambda \end{array}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$.

One now makes use of property 3 stated in lemma 10.1 above. Let D a component of $\mathcal{C}$. Up renumerotation, one can assume that

$D = D_1$ and that there exists $D_2, ..., D_p$. such that $D_i \cap D_{i-1} \neq \emptyset$ for every $2 \leq i \leq p$, $D_1 \cap D_p \neq \emptyset$. $\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \lambda_{i_j i_{j+1}} \neq 1$.

For every $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$, let $T_i \simeq (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ a germ a transversal to $\mathcal{F}$ in a regular point m_i of D_i.

Equip (T_1, m_1) with an holomorphic coordinate z, $z(m_1) = 0$. Denote by S_η the invariant positive current η_T restricted to T_1. For any Borel subset B of T_1, let $\nu_B(S_\eta)$ be the mass of S_η on B, i.e: $\nu_B(S_\eta) = \int_B \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{e^{2\varphi(z)} dz \wedge d\overline{z}}{|z|^2}$. Note that this mass is finite whenever B is compact subset of $T_1 \setminus \{m_1\}$.

When composing Dulac's transforms, one get a multivaluate holonomy tranformation of T_1 wich can be written as $h(z) = z^r U(z)$ where $r = \lambda_{12}\lambda_{23}.....\lambda_{p1}$ is positive real number strictly greater than 1 (up reversing the order of composition) and U is a bounded unit on each angular sector such that $\lim_{z \to 0} U(z)$ exists and equal to 1 up doing a change of variables $z \to \lambda z$. Up iterating h and thus replacing r by r^n, one can also assume that $r > 2$.

By a slight abuse of notation, we will identify h with one of its determinations on a sector of angle $< 2\pi$, that is $S_{\theta_1,\theta_2} = \{z \in T_1 | \theta_1 < \arg z < \theta_2,\ 0 < \theta_2 - \theta_1 < 2\pi\}$.

Consider $\rho > 0$ small enought and for every integer $n > 0$, let $A_n \in T_1$ be the annulus $\{\frac{\rho^{r^{n+1}}}{2} < |z| < 2\rho^{r^n}\}$.

For $\theta = \theta_2 - \theta_1 \in]0, \pi[$, consider the annular sector $A_{n,\theta_1,\theta_2} = S_{\theta_1,\theta_2} \cap A_n$.

One can easily check that $h(A_{n,\theta_1,\theta_2})$ contains an annular sector of the form $A_{n+1,\theta_1',\theta_2'}$ with $\theta_2' - \theta_1' > 2(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$.

From these observations and the fact that S_η is invariant by h, one deduces that $\nu_{A_n}(S_\eta) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \nu_{A_1}(S_\eta)$. As $\{0 < |z| < \rho\} = \bigcup_n A_n$, S_η has finite mass on $T_1 \setminus \{0\}$.

Consequently, the closed positive current η_T well defined only a priori on $X \setminus H_b$ extends trivially on the whole X as a closed positive current. This extension will be also denoted by η_T.

10.2.3. *Existence of an invariant transverse hyperbolic metric, monodromy behaviour at infinity and consequences on the dynamics.* Every singularity of the foliation has elementary type and then, according to proposition 2.6 and remark 2.5,

$$\{\eta_T\}\{S\} = 0$$

for every $\mathcal{F}$ invariant closed positive current S.

The positive part Z of $c_1(N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(H))$ is represented by an $\mathcal{F}$ invariant positive current, one can then make use of Hodge's index theorem to conclude that

$$\{\eta_T\} = Z$$

up normalization of η_T by a multiplicative positive number.

This last property enables us to give an analogous statement to that of proposition 8.4:

Proposition 10.5. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ a strict Log-Canonical foliation on a Kähler manifold X. Suppose moreover that $Z \neq 0$. Let Ξ a $(1,1)$ positive current $\mathcal{F}$-invariant.*

$$\alpha = \{N(\alpha)\} + Z(\alpha)$$

avec $\alpha = \{\Xi\}$ (for instance, $\alpha = c_1(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) + \{H\}$
Then the following properties hold:

(1) *the components D_i of the negative part $N(\alpha)$ are hypersurfaces invariant by the foliation; in particular, $Z(\alpha)$ can be represented by an $\mathcal{F}$ invariant closed positive current.*
(2) *$Z(\alpha)$ is a multiple of $\{\eta_T\}$.*
(3) *$Z(\alpha)$ is nef and $Z(\alpha)^2 = 0$.*
(4) *The decomposition is orthogonal: $\{N(\alpha)\}Z(\alpha) = 0$. More precisely, for every component D_i of $N(\alpha)$, on a $\{D_i\}Z(\alpha) = 0$.*
(5) *In $H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$, the $\mathbb{R}$ vector space spanned by the components $\{D_i\}$ of $\{N(\alpha)\}$ intersects the real line spanned by $\{\eta_T\}$ only at the origin.*
(6) *The decomposition is rational if X is projective and α is a rational class (e.g: $\alpha = c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}}) + \{H\}$).*
(7) *Every $(1,1)$ closed positive current wich represents α is necessarily $\mathcal{F}$-invariant.*
(8) *let A be a hypersurface invariant by the foliation and $A_1, ..., A_r$ its irreducible components; the family $\{A_1, ..., A_r\}$ is exceptional if and only if the matrix $(m_{ij}) = (\{A_i\}\{A_j\}\{\theta\}^{n-2})$ is negative , where θ is a Kähler form on X.*

Doing the same than in the proof of proposition 8.3, one can prove that there exists a closed positive current T representing $c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(H))$ such that

$$T = [N] + \eta_T \tag{14}$$

As previously, this means that N_F comes equipped with a transverse hyperbolic metric with degeneracies on $K = \text{supp } N \cup H_b$. This provides a representation

$$r : \pi_1(X \setminus K) \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Write N as a positive linear combination of prime divisors

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^{p} N_i$$

As previously, one denotes by $\mathcal{I}^1$ the corresponding locally constant sheaf of distinguished first integrals . Like in the previous cases, there are multivaluate sections of $\mathcal{I}^1$ around Supp N given by elementary first integrals $f_1^{\mu_1}....f_p^{\mu_p}$ with f_i a local section of $\mathcal{O}(-N_i)$ and μ_i non negative real number such that $\mu_i = \lambda_i + 1$ if $N_i \leq H$ and $\mu_i = \lambda_i$ otherwise (see item (1) of proposition 8.5). By the point (6) of the proposition above, the exponents μ_i's are indeed rational whenever X is projective In particular, the monodromy around the local branches of Supp N is finite abelian.

It remains to analyse the behaviour of such first integral near the boundary component H_b. Roughly speaking, one may think of the leaves space of $\mathcal{F}$ as a (non Hausdorff) orbifold hyperbolic Riemann surface with finitely many orbifolds points (corresponding to the components of the negative part) and cusps (corresponding to the components of H_b). This is made more precise by the following statement:

Lemma 10.6. *Let $\varphi \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ an homography such that $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{H}$. Then, around each $x \in H_b$, there exists a local coordinate system $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$ and positive real numbers $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_p$ such that H_b is defined by $z_1....z_p = 0$ and*

$$f(z) = -i(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \alpha_j \log z_j)$$

is a multivaluate section of $\varphi_*(\mathcal{I}^1)$. In particular, the foliation is defined on a neighborhood of x by the logarithmic form with positive redidues

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i \frac{dz_i}{z_i} \tag{15}$$

Proof. Assume firstly that $x \notin \text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$.

The identity (14) can be locally expressed by an equation involving only one variable z (wich parametrizes the local leaves space and such that $H_b = \{z = 0\}$), namely

$$\Delta\varphi(z) = \frac{e^{2\varphi}}{|z|^2}.$$

which is nothing but the equation of negative constant curvature metric near the cuspidal point $z = 0$. In particular, the foliation admits as first integrals multivaluate sections of $\phi(\mathcal{I}^1)$ of the form $f(z) = -i\alpha \log z + h(z)$ where h is holomrphic and $\alpha > 0$. Up right composition by a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{C}, 0$, one can then assume that

$$f(z) = -i\alpha \log z \tag{16}$$

(the transverse invariant hyperbolic metric is then given by $\eta_T = \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{dz \wedge d\overline{z}}{|z|^2 (\log |z|)^2}$). The general case easily follows from the abelianity of the local monodromy on the complement of the normal crossing divisor $\{z_1 ... z_p = 0\}$.

□

Lemma 10.7. *The representation ρ has dense image.*

Proof. Let ω be the logarithmic form given by (15). Note that ω is nothing but the meromorphic extension of a section of $-id(\varphi_*(\mathcal{I}^1))$ near H_b and is uniquely defined up to multiplication by a real positive scalar.

Consequently, the monodromy representation $\varphi_* r$ restricted to $U \setminus \mathcal{C}$, where U is a small neighborhood of a connected component $\mathcal{C}$ of H_b takes values in the affine group Aff of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ and the image $G_{\mathcal{C}}$ contains non trivial tranlations (thanks to the existence of non trivial residues). Moreover, $G_{\mathcal{C}}$ does not contain only translations, otherwise this would mean that ω extends as a logarithmic form on the whole U with poles on $\mathcal{C}$ and this obviously contradicts lemma 8.10. Denote by G the image of $\varphi_* r$. The observations easily implies the following alternative

(1) Either $G \subset$ Aff,
(2) either the topological closure $\overline{G}$ of G is $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

By an argument already used in section 4, the first case forces the positive part Z to vanish and then yields a contradiction.

The monodromy group of the foliation is thus dense in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. By using a bundle-metric complete at infinity in the spirit of lemma 8.9 (here, it is convenient to choose local model of the form $g_x = i \sum_{l=1}^{n_x} \frac{dz_l \wedge \{d\overline{z_l}}{|z_l|^2 \{(\log |z_l|)^2} + h_x$ near $x \in H_b$). Following the same line of argumentation as in 10.1, one can show that the dynamical behaviour of $\mathcal{F}$ is completely encoded by G and in particular that $\mathcal{F}$ is a **quasi-minimal foliation**.

□

Remark 10.8. As an illustration of this monodromy behavior, it may be relevant to keep in mind the example of modular Hilbert modular foliations (see [20] for a thorough discussion on this subject). In this case, one may think of H_b as the exceptional divisors arising from the desingularization of cusps and the affine monodromy near H_b is here related to the isotropy groups of the cusps (the maximal parabolic subgroup of the lattice defining the Hilbert modular surface).

Remark 10.9. Like in the non logarithmic and KLT case, the local monodromy at infinity remains quasi-unipotent . The new phenomenon, here, is that it is no more finite monodromy around the components of H_b (because of the log terms in the local expression of distinguished first integrals).

10.2.4. *Proof of the main theorem.* It is namely the theorem 2 of the introduction. Recall that $\mathcal{F}$ is a strict Log-Canonical foliation with non vanishing positive part Z. From now on, we will assume that the ambient manifold X is **projective**.

Thanks to the transverse hyperbolic structure together with the quasi-minimality of $\mathcal{F}$, one can conclude by the use of the Schwarzian derivative "trick" (see the proof of theorem 4) that $\kappa := \mathrm{Kod}(N^*_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)) = -\infty$. Indeed, if $\kappa \geq 0$, up doing a suitable ramified covering, one can assume that the foliation is defined by a logarithmic form ω with normal crossing poles, hence closed.

Thanks to the moderate growth of distinguished first integrals, $\mathcal{F}$ is a transversely projective foliations with regular singularities and the corresponding Riccati foliation does not factors through a Ricatti foliation over a curve for exactly the same dynamical reasons as those already observed in 6.1.

One can then similarly claim that there exists Ψ a morphism of analytic varieties between $X \setminus H_b$ and the quotient $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{D}^n/\Gamma$ of a polydisk ($n \geq 2$) by an irreducible lattice $\Gamma \subset (\mathrm{Aut}\ \mathbb{D})^n$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \Psi^*\mathcal{G}$ where $\mathcal{G}$ is one of the n tautological foliations on $\mathfrak{H}$.

To get the final conclusion, one extends Ψ to an algebraic morphism

$$\overline{\Psi} \to \overline{\mathfrak{H}}^{BB}$$

thanks to Borel's extension theorem [3].

References

[1] A.BEAUVILLE, *Annulation du H^1 pour les fibrés en droites plats*, Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, 1990), 1-15.

[2] S.BOUCKSOM, *Divisorial Zariski decompositions on compact complex manifolds*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **37** (2004), no. 1, 45-76.

[3] A.BOREL, *Some metric properties of arithmetic quotients of symmetric spaces and an extension theorem*, J.Differential Geom., Volume 6, Number **4** (1972), 421-598.

[4] M.BRUNELLA, *Birational geometry of foliations. Publicaçoes Matemáticas do IMPA*, [IMPA Mathematical Publications] Instituto de Matemtica Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, 2004.

[5] M.BRUNELLA, *Feuilletages holomorphes sur les surfaces complexes compactes*, Ann. , Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **30** (1997), no. 5, 569-594.

[6] M.BRUNELLA, *Courbes entières et feuilletages holomorphes*, Enseign. Math. (2) **45** (1999), no. 1-2, 195-216.

[7] M.BRUNELLA, L.G MENDES,*Bounding the degree of solutions to Pfaff equations*, Publ. Mat. **44** (2000), no. 2, 593-604.

[8] F.CAMPANA *Ensembles de Green-Lazarsfeld et quotients résolubles des groupes de Kähler*, J. Algebraic Geom. **10** (2001), no. 4, 599-622.

[9] K.CORLETTE, C.SIMPSON, *On the classification of rank-two representations of quasiprojective fundamental groups*, Compos. Math. **144** (2008), no. 5, 1271-1331.
[10] G.COUSIN, J.V. PEREIRA *Transversely affine foliations on projective manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **18** (2014).
[11] P.DELIGNE, *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 163, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
[12] P.DELIGNE *Théorie de Hodge. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **40** (1971), 5-57.
[13] J.P DEMAILLY, *On the Frobenius integrability of certain holomorphic p-forms*, Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000), 93-98, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
[14] C.GODBILLON, *Feuilletages. Études géométriques*, Progress in Mathematics, 98. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1991.
[15] A.GROTHENDIECK, *A General Theory of Fibre Spaces with Structure Sheaf*, University of Kansas, 1955.
[16] L.HÖRMANDER, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis*, Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
[17] F.LORAY, J.V PEREIRA, *Transversely projective foliations on surfaces: existence of minimal forms and prescription of monodromy*, Int.J.Maths, Vol **18**, Number 6, (2007), 723-747.
[18] F.LORAY, J.V PEREIRA, F.TOUZET, *Representations of quasiprojective groups, Flat connections and Transversely projective foliations*, arXiv:1402.1382 (2014)
[19] J.-F. MATTEI, R.MOUSSU, *Holonomie et intégrales premières*, Ann.Sc. ENS **13** (1980), 469-523.
[20] L. G. MENDES; J. V. PEREIRA, *Hilbert modular foliations on the projective plane*, Comment. Math. Helv. **80** (2005), no. 2, 243-291.
[21] P.MOLINO, *Riemannian foliations*, Translated from the French by Grant Cairns. With appendices by Cairns, Y. Carrière, É. Ghys, E. Salem and V. Sergiescu. Progress in Mathematics, **73.** Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988, 339 pp.
[22] N.NAKAYAMA, *Zariski-decomposition and abundance*, MSJ Memoirs, vol. 14, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2004.
[23] E.PAUL, *Connectedness of the fibers of a Liouvillian function*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **33** (1997), no. 3, 465-481.
[24] R.PEREZ-MARCO, *Fixed points and circle maps*, Acta Math., **179**, (1997), 243-294.
[25] T.RANSFORD, *Potential theory in the complex plane*, London mathematical Society Student Texts, 28. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
[26] M.REID, *Bogomolov's theorem* $c_1^2 \leq 4c_2$, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Algebraic Geometry (Kyoto Univ., Kyoto, 1977), pp. 623-642, Kinokuniya Book Store, Tokyo, 1978.
[27] C.SIMPSON, *Subspaces of moduli spaces of rank one local systems,* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **26** (1993), no. 3, 361-401.
[28] C.SIMPSON, *Lefschetz theorems for the integral leaves of a holomorphic one-form*, Compositio Math. **87** (1993), no. 1, 99- 113.
[29] F.TOUZET,*Uniformisation de l'espace des feuilles de certains feuilletages de codimension un*, Bull Braz Math Soc, New Series **44**(3) (2013), 351-391.